

Table 1**K265EK Minor Change To Licensed Facility (to CH268), June 21, 2009
Channel Study**

Chan	Class	Call Letters	Type	Status	City	State	Country	Owner	Distance (km)	Bearing TO (deg)	Req. Dist. (km)	Clearance (km)	
215	D	K215CF	FX	LIC	ST. GEORGE	UT	US	UTAH STATE UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURE	40.5	58.1	0.0	40.5	
265	D	K265EK	FX	LIC	LAS VEGAS	NV	US	ONDAS DE VIDA NETWORK, INC.	7.5	232.1	6.2	1.3	(same as applicant)
266	C	KPLD	FM	LIC	KANAB	UT	US	MARATHON MEDIA GROUP, L.L.C.	109.8	64.2	94.7	15.1	
268	D	K268BH	FX	LIC	MESQUITE	NV	US	CANYON MEDIA GROUP, LLC	13.7	202.7	12.8	0.9	
268	D	K268BT	FX	CP	SHIVWITS	UT	US	WOODS COVE, LTD	31.9	14.9	5.3	26.6	
270	L1	KTIM-LP	FL	LIC	ST. GEORGE	UT	US	WASTECON ENVIRONMENTAL LLC	43.2	48.4	5.7	37.5	
270	C	KWID	FM	LIC	LAS VEGAS	NV	US	TEXAS LOTUS CORP.	134.0	224.6	86.6	47.4	
270	C	KWID	FM	CP	LAS VEGAS	NV	US	TEXAS LOTUS CORP.	134.0	224.6	90.7	43.4	




Figure 1

K265EK LAS VEGAS, NV: MINOR CHANGE TO LICENSED FACILITY
Minor change showing overlap of 60 dBu contours and contour protection

Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Exposure Analysis

Source	Height AGL(m)	Antenna type	Bays	Horizontal ERP (kw)	Vertical ERP (kw)	Power Density $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ at 2 meters AGL				
						within 10 meters distance	% controlled environment limit (1000 $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$)	Max. PD	% uncontrolled environment limit (200 $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$)	Distance to maximum PD (m)
PROPOSED	9	SCALA YA7FML-1	1	0.000	0.001	0.1	0.01%	0.1	0.1%	12
						0.1	0.01%	0.1	0.1%	12

The proposed facility is excluded from environmental processing under 47. C.F.R. Section 1.1306 (i.e., The facility will not have a significant environmental impact and complies with the maximum permissible radiofrequency electromagnetic exposure limits for controlled and uncontrolled environments).

Calculations made using Equation 10 from OET Bulletin 65 and elevation pattern provided by antenna manufacturer