

Schedule 4.4

Licenses

**CURRENT FCC LICENSE AND RENEWAL AUTHORIZATIONS  
WSTR-TV AND ASSOCIATED AUXILIARY STATIONS**

***Licensee: WSTR Licensee, Inc.***

Main Station WSTR-TV, Cincinnati, Ohio

Facility ID No.: 11204

Type of Authorization	Call Sign	Grant Date	Expiration Date
Digital TV License (File No. BLCDT-20091117ACS)	WSTR-TV (and associated broadcast auxiliaries)	10/20/10	10/01/13

Low Power Translator, Dayton, Ohio

Licensee: WSTR Licensee, Inc.

Facility ID No.: 11203

Type of Authorization	Call Sign	Grant Date	Expiration Date
Television Translator License (File No. BLTTL-20100511AAU)	W22DE	06/30/10	10/01/13

Broadcast Auxiliary Stations Associated with

Main Station WSTR-TV, Cincinnati, Ohio

Facility ID No.: 11204

Type of Authorization	Call Sign
TV Intercity Relay License	WGH912
TV Intercity Relay License	WGV734
TV Pickup License	WPYP937

Business Radio Station Used with  
WSTR-TV, Cincinnati, Ohio  
Licensee: WSTR-TV Licensee, Inc.  
Facility ID No.: 11204

Type of Authorization	Call Sign	Expiration Date
Industrial/Business Microwave Station License	WPYJ844	08/25/13

Earth Station Associated with  
Main Station WSTR-TV, Cincinnati, Ohio  
Licensee: WSTR Licensee, Inc.  
Facility ID No.: 11204

Type of Authorization	Call Sign	Expiration Date
Receive Only Earth Station Registration	WV20	11/09/19

Antenna Structure Associated with  
Main Station WSTR-TV, Cincinnati, Ohio  
Facility ID No.: 11204

Registration Number	Issue Date	Coordinates	Overall Height	Owner
1014132	02/21/97	39° 12' 01.0" N 84° 31' 22.0" W	290.8 meters	WSTR Licensee Inc. DBA WSTR TV

For the purposes of this Schedule 3.4, references to “Sinclair” and “we” shall include Sinclair, Sinclair Television Group, Inc., and all subsidiaries and affiliates of Sinclair and/or Sinclair Television Group, Inc.

The following are petitions and objections that have been filed relating to Sinclair in the past and several are still pending. While Sinclair is not aware of any direct relationship between the proposed transaction and any of the petitions or objections referenced below, Sinclair cannot predict whether Rainbow/PUSH or any of the other persons or organizations listed below might challenge the proposed transaction.

In November, 2003, Sinclair filed applications with the FCC seeking its consent to acquire the license assets of five television stations: WRGT-TV, Dayton, Ohio; WTAT-TV, Charleston,

South Carolina; WVAH-TV, Charleston, West Virginia, WNUV-TV, Baltimore, Maryland, and WTTE-TV, Columbus, Ohio. The Rainbow/PUSH Coalition (“Rainbow/PUSH”) filed a petition to deny each of these five applications and, in this context, asked the FCC to revoke the FCC licenses of all television stations licensed to Sinclair. The Chief of the Media Bureau denied Rainbow-PUSH’s request, and Rainbow-Push subsequently filed a petition for reconsideration, which remains in pending status.

In 2004, Sinclair filed with the FCC an application for the license renewal of WBFF-TV in Baltimore, Maryland. Subsequently, an individual named Richard D’Amato filed a petition to deny the application. The WBFF-TV renewal application remains in pending status.

On November 1, 2004, an organization calling itself “Free Press” filed a petition to deny the license renewal applications of six stations licensed Sinclair (WXLV-TV, Winston-Salem, North Carolina; WUPN-TV, Greensboro, North Carolina; WLFL(TV), Raleigh, North Carolina; WRDC(TV) Durham, North Carolina; WLOS(TV), Asheville, North Carolina; and WMMP(TV), Charleston, South Carolina) and two stations licensed to Cunningham Broadcasting Corporation (WBSC-TV, Anderson, South Carolina and WTAT-TV, Charleston, South Carolina) which are programmed by Sinclair pursuant to Local Marketing Agreements (“LMAs”). Several individuals and an organization named “Sinclair Media Watch” also filed informal objections to the license renewal applications of WLOS-TV and WMYA-TV. Those renewal applications remain in pending status.

On July 21, 2005, Sinclair filed with the FCC an application for consent to acquire the license and non-license television broadcast assets of WNAB-TV in Nashville, Tennessee. Rainbow/PUSH filed a petition to deny that application and also requested that the FCC initiate a hearing to investigate whether WNAB-TV was improperly operated with WZTV-TV and WUXP-TV, two of stations operated by affiliates of Sinclair which are located in the same market as WNAB-TV. The FCC application remains in pending status.

On August 1, 2005, Sinclair filed applications with the FCC requesting renewal of the broadcast licenses for WICS-TV and WICD-TV in Springfield/Champaign, Illinois. Subsequently, various viewers filed informal objections requesting that the FCC deny these renewal applications. The renewal applications remain in pending status.

On September 30, 2005, Sinclair filed an application with the FCC for the renewal of the broadcast license for KGAN-TV in Cedar Rapids, Iowa. On December 28, 2005, an organization calling itself “Iowans for Better Local Television” filed a petition to deny that application. The renewal application remains in pending.

On August 1, 2005, Sinclair filed applications with the FCC requesting renewal of the broadcast licenses for WCGV-TV and WTVV-TV in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. On November 1, 2005, the Milwaukee Public Interest Media Coalition filed a petition to deny these renewal applications. On June 13, 2007, the Video Division of the FCC denied the petition to deny, and subsequently, the Milwaukee Public Interest Media Coalition filed a petition for reconsideration of that decision, which we opposed. In July 2008, the Video Division granted the renewal application of WTVV-TV and separately denied the Milwaukee Public Interest Media Coalition’s petition

for reconsideration. On August 11, 2008, the Milwaukee Public Interest Media Coalition and another organization filed another petition for reconsideration of the decision, which we opposed. On January 12, 2010, the FCC dismissed the second petition for reconsideration. The WCGV-TV renewal of license application remains pending.

On February 27, 2006, an individual named James Pennino purportedly filed a petition to deny the license renewal application of WUCW-TV in Minneapolis, Minnesota. Despite not having found any official record of the filing, Sinclair opposed the petition and the renewal application is currently pending.

Sinclair is aware of threats that have been made publicly that various entities may file actions at the FCC against Sinclair. None of these threats relate specifically to the proposed transaction.

Sinclair is aware that some multi-channel video programming distributors (“MVPDs”) and trade associations representing MVPDs have indicated their plan to object to FCC assignment or transfer applications involving more than one television station per DMA on grounds that the combination would impede competition in the negotiation of retransmission consent agreements, unfair competition, and other issues, and that such petitions to deny and/or informal objections have been submitted in such circumstances involving entities other than Sinclair. There can be no assurance that an MVPD or trade association will not submit a petition to deny or informal objection against the proposed transaction.

Schedule 4.5  
Tangible Personal Property

Asset ID	Description	PIS Date	Asset Type	Vendor
WSTR004590	Accrodyne Transmitter	9/30/2002	Transmission Equipment	
WSTR004660	IOT INPUT	10/1/2002	Transmission Equipment	
WSTR004810	Transmitter	1/1/2003	Transmission Equipment	
WSTR004830	Dielectric DTV transmitter parts	4/1/2003	Transmission Equipment	
WSTR005730	E2V Technology 2 Output cavities	2/1/2005	Transmission Equipment	
WSTR006030	Digital Transmitter Tubes for DTV Conversion	9/11/2006	Transmission Equipment	E2V Technologies
WSTR007960	DTV- Exciter	7/21/2008	Transmission Equipment	Acrodyne