

Victoria McCauley

From: Dan J. Alpert <dja@commlaw.tv>
Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2017 2:19 PM
To: Victoria McCauley
Cc: 'Maria Guel'
Subject: RE: Resumption Notices

Victoria:

Sorry for the delay in getting back to you on these:

For K247BX

The landowner had been wanting the licensee to enter into a long-term lease. Because the coverage is not optimal, and there are plans the eventually move to a new, better, site, the licensee resisted. As a result, for a time, the licensee lost the site when the landowner and the licensee could not come to terms.

The landowner changed his mind, and a short-term oral agreement has been entered into.

For K278CA

The antenna is behind a house. The landowner was fearful of RF radiation, and asked the licensee to relocate. Operations were discontinued.

There are plans to move to a new, better site in the near term. Nevertheless, in the short term, the homeowner was convinced to allow the station to resume operations.

Please let me know if there are any questions.

An e-mail message from:
Dan J. Alpert
The Law Office of Dan J. Alpert
2120 N. 21st Rd.
Arlington, VA 22201

703-243-8690 (business)
703-539-5418 (fax)

(Please note: This is a message sent by an attorney. It is confidential. It may contain privileged attorney-client communication or work product intended only for the individual or entity named within the message. If you are not the intended recipient, or the agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is prohibited. If this email message was received in error, it would be appreciated if you would notify me by reply e-mail and delete the original message from your system. Thank you for your cooperation.)

From: Victoria McCauley [mailto:Victoria.McCauley@fcc.gov]
Sent: Friday, October 06, 2017 3:13 PM
To: Dan J. Alpert <dja@commlaw.tv>
Cc: 'Maria Guel' <mcguel@mekaddeshgroup.com>
Subject: RE: Resumption Notices

Could you file a short narrative explaining what the technical issues were that required the stations to go silent and how they were resolved? Your explanations are really too brief, and I am not able to just grant the resumptions without more information. Thanks.

Victoria M. McCauley
Attorney/Advisor
Audio Division
Media Bureau
202 418 2136
Victoria.McCauley@fcc.gov



For FCC Official Use Only

From: Dan J. Alpert [mailto:dja@commlaw.tv]
Sent: Friday, October 6, 2017 2:58 PM
To: Victoria McCauley <Victoria.McCauley@fcc.gov>
Cc: 'Maria Guel' <mcguel@mekaddeshgroup.com>
Subject: Resumption Notices

Victoria:

These have been filed.

Have a great Holiday Weekend.

An e-mail message from:
Dan J. Alpert
The Law Office of Dan J. Alpert
2120 N. 21st Rd.
Arlington, VA 22201

703-243-8690 (business)
703-539-5418 (fax)

(Please note: This is a message sent by an attorney. It is confidential. It may contain privileged attorney-client communication or work product intended only for the individual or entity named within the message. If you are not the intended recipient, or the agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is prohibited. If this email message was received in error, it would be appreciated if you would notify me by reply e-mail and delete the original message from your system. Thank you for your cooperation.)