

From: Ron Graser
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2011 2:26 PM
To: 'Jim McDonald'
Cc: Hossein Hashemzadeh
Subject: RE: BPDТА-20110901ABY

Mr. McDonald,

I ran the interference study using the specifications requested 0.5 km/side - Cell Size for Service Analysis and 0.50 km - Distance Increments for Longley-Rice Analysis. The results were "No interference problem is found for this study." These specifications do resolve the interference issue and satisfy the requirements of the 30 day letter.

I will prepare the letter to send the proposal to our Engineering Enforcement Bureau for their approval or disapproval of the proposals based on their analysis of the factors involving the FCC Monitoring Station at Livermore, CA.

A copy of this e-mail will be imported to the correspondence file of the proposed application to show your official request to use the interference specifications as indicated above.

Thanks, Ron Graser
Media Bureau, Video Division
October 26, 2011

From: Jim McDonald [mailto:jim@windriverbroadcast.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 5:34 PM
To: Ron Graser
Subject: Re: BPDТА-20110901ABY

Ron, I note that I had inadvertently failed in the exhibit on the subject application to advise you to run the Ix study using 0.5 km sides on the cell sides and 0.5 km on the terrain intervals. Per our studies, this makes a difference sufficient to clear up the interference to BNPDTL-20100305ABQ.

Question: can you handle this matter with this info or should I file an amendment with this same information on it? This is the only change in the application.

To assist, I have revised the exhibit attachment in the last lines on page 1 to reflect that and attached it if it can be of use to you.

Thanks much,

J.

Jim McDonald
(970) 593-8443
www.windriverbroadcast.com
(800) 669-3993