

Exhibit 37 – Statement B
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS
prepared for
Educational Broadcasting Corporation
WLIW(TV) Garden City, New York
Facility ID: 38336
Ch. 21 251 kW (MAX-DA) 122.9 m

The instant proposal is not believed to have a significant environmental impact as defined under Section 1.1306 of the Commission's Rules. Consequently, preparation of an Environmental Assessment is not required.

Nature of The Proposal

Educational Broadcasting Corporation ("*Educational*") herein proposes to operate its post-transition Channel 21 digital operation for WLIW(TV) from an existing tower (see Antenna Structure Registration Number 1007205). The use of existing transmitting locations has been characterized as being environmentally preferable by the Commission, according to Note 1 of §1.1306 of the FCC Rules. Since no change in overall structure height is proposed, no change in current structure marking and lighting requirements is anticipated.

Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Radiation

The proposed operation was evaluated for human exposure to radiofrequency energy using the procedures outlined in the Commission's OET Bulletin No. 65 ("OET 65"). OET 65 describes a means of determining whether a proposed facility exceeds the radiofrequency exposure guidelines adopted in §1.1310. Under present Commission policy, a facility may be presumed to comply with the limits specified in §1.1310 if it satisfies the exposure criteria set forth in OET 65. Based upon that methodology, and as demonstrated in the following, the proposed transmitting system will comply with the cited adopted guidelines.

The proposed WLIW(TV) antenna that will be employed for the proposed post-transition operation will have a center of radiation 89.6 meters above ground level. An ERP of 251 kilowatts, horizontally polarized, will be employed. Based on information provided by the antenna manufacturer, the antenna has a maximum vertical plane (elevation) relative field of 17.1 percent or less from 10 to 90 degrees below the horizontal plane (i.e.: below the antenna). Thus, a value of 17.1 percent relative field is used for this calculation. The "uncontrolled/general population" limit specified in §1.1310 for Channel 21 (center frequency 515 MHz) is 343.3 $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$.

Exhibit 37 – Statement B

(Page 2 of 3)

OET 65's formula for television transmitting antennas is based on the NTSC transmission standards, where the average power is normally much less than the peak power. For the DTV facility in the instant proposal, the peak-to-average ratio is different than the NTSC ratio. The DTV ERP figure herein refers to the average power level. The formula used for calculating DTV signal density in this analysis is essentially the same as equation (10) in OET-65.

$$S = (33.4098) (F^2) (ERP) / D^2$$

Where:

S	=	power density in microwatts/cm ²
ERP	=	total (average) ERP in Watts
F	=	relative field factor
D	=	distance in meters

Using this formula, the proposed facility would contribute a power density of 31.95 µW/cm² at two meters above ground level near antenna support structure, or 9.3 percent of the general population/uncontrolled limit. At ground level locations away from the base of the tower, the calculated RF power density is even lower, due to the increasing distance from the transmitting antenna.

Also located at the WLIW site is LPTV station W27CB, Channel 26, Hempstead, New York. According to the Commission's engineering database, the facilities authorized in the construction permit BPTTL-20050222ACH have been constructed and a license application has been filed (see BLTTL-20080522ABK). Based on information contained in the W27CB construction permit application, the facility contributes 16.8 µW/cm² at locations 2 meters above ground level. This is 4.62% of the "general population/uncontrolled" limit for Channel 26. Combining the W27CB contribution with that of the proposed WLIW facility yields 13.92% of the "general population/uncontrolled" limit. Accordingly, the proposed transmitting system will comply with the cited adopted guidelines.

Safety of Tower Workers and the General Public

As demonstrated herein, excessive levels of RF energy attributable to the proposal will not be caused at publicly accessible areas at ground level near the antenna supporting structure. Consequently, members of the general public will not be exposed to RF levels in excess of the Commission's guidelines. Nevertheless, tower access will continue to be restricted and controlled

Cavell, Mertz & Associates, Inc.

Exhibit 37 – Statement B

(Page 3 of 3)

through the use of a locked fence. Additionally, appropriate RF exposure warning signs will continue to be posted.

With respect to worker safety, it is believed that based on the preceding analysis, excessive exposure would not occur in areas at ground level. A site exposure policy will continue to be employed protecting maintenance workers from excessive exposure when work must be performed on the tower in areas where high RF levels may be present. Such protective measures may include, but will not be limited to, restriction of access to areas where levels in excess of the guidelines may be expected, power reduction, or the complete shutdown of facilities when work or inspections must be performed in areas where the exposure guidelines will be exceeded. On-site RF exposure measurements may also be undertaken to establish the bounds of safe working areas. The applicant will coordinate exposure procedures with all pertinent stations.

Conclusion

Based on the preceding, it is believed that the instant proposal may be categorically excluded from environmental processing under Section 1.1306 of the Rules, hence preparation of an Environmental Assessment is not required.