GREG BEST
CONSULTING, INC.
9223 N. Manning Ave.
Kansas City, MO 64157
816-792-2913

April 29, 2008

Federal Communications Commission
Media Bureau, Video Division
445 12th St. S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

In evaluating the proposed facility change for KOMI (BLTTL-19950922IB), an evaluation of possible interference according to FCC rules was conducted.

PROPOSED STATION EVALUATION TO POSSIBLE INTERFERENCE CRITERIA

Proposed facility does not interfere with FCC Monitoring Stations

Proposed facility does not interfere with West Virginia quiet zone

Proposed facility does not interfere with Table Mountain

Proposed facility is beyond the Canadian coordination distance

Proposed facility is beyond the Mexican coordination distance

Proposed station is OK toward AM broadcast stations

There are spacing and/or contour violations with full service, digital, Class A, and Low Power TV stations.

An evaluation according to OET-69 is presented to support this proposed facility change. In evaluating the proposed facility change for (BLTTL-19950922IB), an outgoing interference study was executed using the OET-69 Longley Rice Methodology using a signal resolution of 1 km and a spacing increment of 1.0 km with an ERP of 15.0 kW using a Stringent emission mask. The CDBS database of 4/26/2008 was used for this analysis. The following stations were considered in the study:

Call Sign	FCC File Number	City	State	Distance	Bearing
K14MU--D.C (14)	BDCCDTT20061030AEI	Weatherford	OK	107.8	142.9
K14MT--D.C (14)	BDCCDTT20061030ADK	Sayre	OK	126.2	191.0
K14MV--D.C (14)	BDCCDTT20061024AAF	Woodward, Etc.	OK	36.4	25.0
K15DD (15-)	BLTTL19911023JI	Wichita	KS	247.2	49.4
KDNT-L-D.C (15)	BDCCDTL20061023AFM	Ardmore	OK	346.0	135.0
KDOR-D (15)	BLCDT20061012AAX	Bartlesville	OK	331.2	84.1
K15HL--D.C (15)	BDCCDTT20061024AAM	Cherokee & Alva	OK	98.1	54.0
KCIT-D.C (15)	BPCDT19991029AIB	Amarillo	TX	237.8	245.1
KJTL-D.C (15)	BMPCDT20070404ACI	Wichita Falls	TX	238.5	163.8
K15CN-D.C (15)	BDFCDTT20060329AJO	Salina	KS	328.0	29.2
KTBO-D (15)	BLCDT20050415AAC	Oklahoma City	OK	193.1	112.9
AP183 (15+)	BPET19960930KI	Wichita	KS	240.3	45.2
AP604 (15+)	BPET19960724KP	Wichita	KS	240.3	45.2
AP105 (15+)	BPET19960423KE	Wichita	KS	245.0	49.4
K60CK.C (15N)	BDISTT20060719ABT	Sayre	OK	126.2	191.0

Call Sign	FCC File Number	City	State	Distance	Bearing
K15DA (15Z)	BLTTL19961024JC	Tulsa	OK	296.2	95.4
K16DX (16N)	BLTT19961125JG	Gage	OK	34.6	302.4
K18BV (18N)	BLTVL19880304IK	May, Etc.	OK	34.6	302.4
K22BR (22N)	BLTVL19880304IL	May, Etc.	OK	34.6	302.4

Of the considered stations, the following stations showed possible interference:

Call Sign	FCC File Number
K14MV--D.C (14)	BDCCDTT20061024AAF
K15HL--D.C (15)	BDCCDTT20061024AAM
KCIT-D.C (15)	BPCDT19991029AIB
KJTL-D.C (15)	BMPCDT20070404ACI
KTBO-D (15)	BLCDT20050415AAC

Each of the above stations was evaluated for incoming interference using the OET-69 Longley Rice methodology. In each case, there was ***de minimis*** (i.e. less than 2.0 % when rounded to the nearest percent) interference present. The following table identifies the actual percentage interference from the incoming interference analyses.

Call Sign	FCC File Number	Percentage Interference
K14MV--D.C (14)	BDCCDTT20061024AAF	0.6 %
K15HL--D.C (15)	BDCCDTT20061024AAM	0.1 %
KCIT-D.C (15)	BPCDT19991029AIB	0.0 %
KJTL-D.C (15)	BMPCDT20070404ACI	0.0 %
KTBO-D (15)	BLCDT20050415AAC	0.0 %

Should you have any questions concerning this analysis, please contact me and I will be happy to help.

Sincerely,

Gregory L. Best, PE

President