

The Law Office of
Dan J. Alpert
2120 N. 21st Rd.
Arlington, VA 22201
DJA@COMMLAW.TV

(703) 243-8690

(703) 243-8692 (FAX)

January 17, 2017

Ms. Marlene Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

**Re: K267BO, South Lake Tahoe, CA
K229DD, San Francisco, CA
File No. BLFT-20170519AAW
Facility ID No. 144139**

Interference Complaint

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Hispanic Family Christian Network, Inc. ("HFCN"), by its attorney, hereby submits an update with respect to efforts to respond to all interference complaints submitted with respect to the above-referenced facility. Information concerning the current status of all nine matters is contained herein.

If there are any questions, please contact this office.

Very truly yours,

Dan J. Alpert

*Counsel for Hispanic Family Christian
Network, Inc.*

cc: *Frank R. Jazzo, Esq.*
Parul Desai, Esq. (via email)
Mr. James Bradshaw (via email)

DECLARATION

I, Maria C. Guel, hereby state under penalty of perjury, as follows:

The following information represents a summary of the efforts that have been made by Hispanic Family Christian Network (“HFCN”) to investigate and resolve all reports of interference to Station KXZM(FM), Felton, California, owned by Lazer Licenses, LLC (“Lazer”). Documentation of those efforts is attached.

The K229DD antenna was originally located at 370 feet AGL on the east face of Level 3 of the tower in a vertical orientation. This height caused reflection off the metal side of the tower at Level 3, which may have been the cause of the initial interference reports. At my request, to allow more projection of the signal to the west, the K229DD antenna was then dropped down away from the metal siding of Level 3, still in a vertical orientation.

When interference reports were received in July 2017, HFCN reviewed the location of the antenna on the tower and antenna orientation. It finally was decided to move the K229DD antenna to the middle (vertically speaking) of the NW face of Level 3 of the Sutro Tower (approximately 375 feet AGL), and to include a special mount that put the K229DD antenna on a 45 degree angle (neither vertical nor horizontal). Due to the structural siding and steel of the tower at Level 3, the location where the antenna currently is situated blocks all RF emissions from the K229DD antenna to the Southeast, which is the direction at which interference complaints originated. The antenna has remained on a 40 degree azimuth. The antenna has been in this location since November 14, 2017. See Attachment A. A photograph of the current installation is attached as Attachment B.

HFCN’s Consultant, Jaime Arbona, has driven the areas that previously allegedly experienced interference. In late November he monitored K229DD and Laser’s KXZM at various points in Hayward and Union City, CA between about 4:00 PM and 7:00 PM. He reports that he found no evidence of interference from K229DD. On the San Mateo Bridge (Highway 92 connecting Hayward in the West to San Mateo in the East), there was interference of Laser’s KXZM from iHeart’s KRYV (Roseville), which also broadcasts on 93.7 MHz. He recorded approximately 20 videos documenting a clear KXZM signal in Union City and Hayward, and his Declaration contains a link to those videos. In one such video, he recorded interference from iHeart on the San Mateo Bridge, noting that you can hear the English-language KRYV break into Lazer’s Mexican format and clearly see KRYV’s ‘The River’ slogan in the top right of the screen on his dashboard.

Mr. Arbona reports that while K229DD's signal was reflected into the Hayward area at one point, relocating the K229DD antenna to another location on the Sutro tower clearly resolved this issue in November. On December 16, 2017, HFCN’s Consultant drove around the area of Hayward, Fremont, and Newark, CA monitoring both signals. He recorded video of his car's dashboard showing my geographic location, the radio frequency he was tuned to and an audio

recording of the signals. He reports that this video confirmed that there is no interference whatsoever to Lazer's KXZM from K229DD. The video terminates at the specific location specified by Mr. Alejandro Sanchez as exhibiting interference (at the intersection of Dairy and Dumbarton Circle in Newark). There is no interference. While K229DD's signal was reflected into the Hayward area at one point, relocating the antenna on the Sutro tower clearly resolved this issue in November. See Attachment C.

With all that in mind, the following summarizes the current status of all nine complaints filed with regard to the K229DD translator. The supporting documentation is attached hereto as Attachments B-D:

Sonia Ochoa. A certified letter, return receipt requested was sent to the address provided by Ms. Ochoa on August 28, 2017, requesting the scheduling of an appointment to allow HFCN's representatives to address any interference that was being received. As reflected by the return receipt, the letter was delivered on August 29, 2017. No contact or response was received by HFCN from Ms. Ochoa. From all indications, it did not appear that Ms. Ochoa was willing to pursue her complaint further. No email address was provided by Ms. Ochoa. Calls had been made to the telephone number provided by her, which were not returned. In addition, a text had been sent to her describing to her the legal proceeding between HFCN and Lazer and offering to resolve the interference. She did not respond to the text.

In the Lazer response, Ms. Ochoa indicated she is willing to cooperate in the investigation and possible resolution of any interference that may still exist. A certified letter was sent to her in an effort to schedule an appointment to examine the nature and extent of the interference she believes she is receiving from K229DD. However, the calls and the letter to Ms. Ochoa, to date, have not resulted in any response. Nevertheless, to the extent the complaint is still active, efforts are continuing to resolve this complaint.

Axel Alejandro. Axel Alejandro was sent a certified letter from HFCN. After text message exchanges following receipt of the certified letter, Mr. Alejandro stated that he "could not help" and asked that no one contact him. From this exchange, it has been concluded that he did not wish to pursue his Complaint.

Melissa Alatorre. A certified letter was attempted to be sent to Ms. Alatorre, but the United States Post Office has stated that no such address exists. An email was sent to her. Calls also have been attempted. There initially was no response. From all indications, it did not appear that the information Ms. Alatorre provided is accurate, or that she was willing to pursue her complaint further.

In a response provided by Lazer, however, although Ms. Alatorre confirmed that she did not communicate with HFCN previously, she expressed a willingness to do so. Efforts therefore have continued to contact her, including sending the certified letter and telephone calls. HFCN managed to speak with her briefly on November 20, 2017, but she indicated she was busy and that

a return call should be made. Such a call was made. Ms. Alatorre has not responded. Therefore, to the extent this complaint is still active, efforts are continuing to resolve this complaint.

Olivia Cortez. Ms. Cortez filed a complaint, indicating her address was “18531 Via San Lorenzo, San Lorenzo, CA 99580.” A certified letter, return receipt requested was sent to the address provided by Ms. Cortez on August 28, 2017, requesting the scheduling of an appointment to allow HFCN’s representatives to address any interference that was being received. As reflected by the return receipt, the letter has been return by the Post Office, stating “Return to Sender, No Such Number.” An email also was sent to the email address provided by Ms. Cortez. No response has been received. Phone calls also have been made that have not been returned. From all indications, it does not appear that the information Ms. Cortez provided is accurate, or that she is willing to pursue her complaint further.

Catalina De Gonzalez. Ms. Gonzalez was contacted by telephone, at which time a message was left to schedule an appointment to fix any interference problems she may be experiencing. She called back two hours later, stating that she was not interested in continuing with the complaint, and that when she filed the complaint, “she was just helping out a friend who worked” at the station, and that she had “neither the time or interest and to please not contact her any more on this matter.”

Nevertheless, in the Lazer response, Ms. Gonzalez indicated she still was receiving interference. A certified letter was mailed, and calls to her telephone number have been made. In mid-November, an appointment was thought to have been finally been made with Ms. Gonzalez to enable HFCN to investigate the interference. HFCN’s Consultant, Jaime Arbona, called her on November 22, 2017 to set up the time for the appointment. She answered the phone, and an offer was made to fix any interference that is being received. She indicated she would call back. She never called back. Since that time, she was again contacted, and stated she did not have time for an appointment and that she already had someone looking into the problem. She quickly said she had to go. There has been no further contact from her.

Adriana Torres. Ms. Torres filed a complaint, indicating her address was “24586 Sybil Ave #2, Hayward, CA 94542.” A certified letter, return receipt requested was sent to the address provided by Ms. Torres on August 28, 2017, requesting the scheduling of an appointment to allow HFCN’s representatives address any interference that was being received. As reflected by the return receipt, the letter was returned by the Post Office, stating “Return to Sender, Attempted -- Unknown.” No email address was provided by Ms. Torres. Calls also have been made that have not been returned. Contacts made initially with Ms. Torres consisted of a single text, and attempts to contact her by telephone. She did not respond to the text, and never answered or responded to any of the telephone calls that were made in response to her complaint. The purpose of the phone calls were simply to investigate the interference complaint, and to diligently pursue the complaint. There never has been any intention to make her feel uncomfortable or “harassed.”

As a result of the Lazer response, efforts are continuing to contact Ms. Torres. HFCN has called her telephone number and HFCN has received no response. HFCN initially was not able to leave a voicemail because it just kept ringing with no voicemail options. Also, a certified letter was mailed but HFCN did not receive a signature receipt from her indicating that the letter has been received by her. The letter was returned to HFCN.

In late November, a voicemail answered on Ms. Torres's number, and a message was left. As of this time, there has been no response. Therefore, as of this time, HFCN has not been able to directly contact Ms. Torres, but efforts within reason will continue.

Alejandro Sanchez. HFCN called Alejandro Sanchez twice but received no response. HFCN could not leave a voicemail because Mr. Sanchez's voice mailbox evidently is not set up. HFCN sent a certified letter requesting a response. No signature receipt was received from him.

HFCN finally was able to contact Mr. Sanchez on November 29, 2017. An offer was made to set up an appointment to investigate the interference, but Mr. Sanchez stated he did not have time for that in that he works every day, and that he would call back. No return call was received. HFCN's Consultant, Jaime Arbona, subsequently was able to contact Mr. Sanchez. Mr. Sanchez said he was too busy to speak, and indicated he would call back. Again, Mr. Sanchez never called back, so no appointment has yet been scheduled.

Isain Pena. In response to a certified letter sent by HFCN, Mr. Pena indicated he was receiving interference. A response letter was sent to Mr. Pena, asking him to contact HFCN. HFCN has called Mr. Pena and in one instance he answered. Mr. Pena stated at that time that he was willing to set up an appointment with one of HFCN's engineers. He was told by HFCN that HFCN would contact with him the next day to confirm appointment and he stated he was fine with that plan. However, two attempts subsequently were made to contact him but there has been no response back from him. Also, voicemails have been left, and in addition, an email and the certified letter has been sent. There was been no response.

HFCN's consultant, Jaime Arbona, however, spoke with Mr. Pena in November. Subsequent investigation of the alleged interference by Mr. Arbona indicates no interference currently exists at the address that has been provided. More recent phone calls to Mr. Pena have not been returned.

Christopher Zarate. HFCN called Christopher Zarate twice but received no response. HFCN left a voicemail and also sent a certified letter. Also, an email was sent.

Finally, on November 21, 2017, in response to HFCN's certified letter, Mr. Zarate wrote back to state that he was still receiving interference. A letter was sent back to Mr. Zarate in response asking him to contact HFCN to set up an appointment. There has been no further response. Therefore, at this time, HFCN has not been able to directly contact Mr. Zarate to set up an appointment, but efforts within reason will continue.

No documented instances of unresolved interference exists at this point in time:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Maria C. Guel', written over a horizontal line.

Maria C. Guel

January 15, 2018