Engineering Exhibit

APPLICATION FOR MODIFICATION OF DIGITAL TELEVISION CONSTRUCTION PERMIT

prepared for WSET Incorporated

WSET-DT Lyncburg, Virginia

Facility ID 73988 Ch. 34 200 kW 568.4 m

Table of Contents

FCC Form 301- Section III-D

Exhibit 42

Statement A Nature Of The Proposal

Figure 1 Authorized and Proposed Coverage Contours

Exhibit 44

Statement B Environmental Considerations

This material supplies a "hard copy" of the engineering portions of this application as entered June 30, 2005 for filing electronically. Since the FCC's electronic filing system may be accessed by anyone with the applicant's name and password, and electronic data may otherwise be altered in an unauthorized fashion, we cannot be responsible for changes made subsequent to our entry of this data and related attachments.

SECTION III-D - DTV ENGINEERING DATA

Complete Questions 1-5 of the Certification Checklist and provide all data and information for the proposed facility, as requested in Technical Specifications, Items 1-13.

Certification Checklist: A correct answer of "Yes" to all of the questions below will ensure an expeditious grant of a construction permit. However, if the proposed facility is located within the Canadian or Mexican borders, coordination of the proposal under the appropriate treaties may be required prior to grant of the application. An answer of "No" will require additional evaluation of the applicable information in this form before a construction permit can be granted.

1. The proposed DTV facility complies with 47 C.F.R. Section 73.622 in the following respects:						
(a) It will operate on the DTV channel for this station as established in 47 C.F.R. Section 73.622.	⊙ Yes C No					
(b) It will operate form a transmitting antenna located within 5.0 km (3.1 miles) of the DTV reference site for this location as established in 47 C.F.R. Section 73.622.	⊙ Yes C No					
(c) It will operate with an effective radiated power (ERP) and antenna height above average terrain (HAAT) that do not exceed the DTV reference ERP and HAAT for this station as established in 47 C.F.R. Section 73.622.	⊙ Yes C No					
2. The proposed facility will not have a significant environmental impact, including exposure of workers or the general public to levels of RF radiation exceeding the applicable health and safety guidelines, and therefore will not come within 47 C.F.R. Section 1.1307. Applicant must submit the Exhibit called for in Item 13.	⊙ Yes C No					
3. Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. Section 73.625, the DTV coverage contour of the proposed facility will encompass the allotted principal community.	Yes ○ No					
4. The requirements of 47 C.F.R. Section 73.1030 regarding notification to radio astronomy installations, radio receiving installations and FCC monitoring stations have either been satisfied or are not applicable.	⊙ Yes C No					
5. The antenna structure to be used by this facility has been registered by the Commission and will not require registration to support the proposed antenna, OR the FAA has previously determined that the proposed structure will not adversely effect safety in air navigation and this structure qualifies for later registration under the Commission's phased registration plan, OR the proposed installation on this structure does not require notification to the FAA pursuant to 47 C.F.R. Section 17.7.	⊙ Yes ○ No					

SEC	ECTION III-D - DTV Engineering					
Ens	TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS Ensure that the specifications below are accurate. Contradicting data found elsewhere in this application will be disregarded. All items must be completed. The response "on file" is not acceptable.					
TE	ТЕСН ВОХ					
1.	Channel Number:					
	DTV 34 Analog TV, if any 13					
2.	Zone: ○I ⊙II ○III					
3.	Antenna Location Coordinates: (NAD 27) Latitude:					
	Degrees 37 Minutes 18 Seconds 54 North South					
	Longitude:					
	Degrees 79 Minutes 38 Seconds 6					
4.	Antenna Structure Registration Number: 1016546 ☐ Not Applicable ☐ Notification filed with FAA					
5.	Antenna Location Site Elevation Above Mean Sea Level:	584.9 meters				
6.	Overall Tower Height Above Ground Level:	374.9 meters				
7.	Height of Radiation Center Above Ground Level:	315.5 meters				
8.	Height of Radiation Center Above Average Terrain :	568.4 meters				
9.	Maximum Effective Radiated Power :	200 kW				
10.	Antenna Specifications:					
	a. Manufacturer DIE Model TFU-30DSC-R-04					
	b. Electrical Beam Tilt:					
	0.75 degrees ☐ Not Applicable					
	c. Mechanical Beam Tilt:					
	degrees toward azimuth degrees True ☑ Not Applicable					
	Attach as an Exhibit all data specified in 47 C.F.R. Section 73.685.	[Early like 40]				
		[Exhibit 40]				
	d. Polorization:					
	e. Directional Antenna Relative Field Values: 🗹 Not applicable (Nondirectional)					
	[For a composite directional (not off-the-shelf) antenna, press the following button to fill in the relative field value [Relative Field Values]	es subform.]				

1 of 3

	10e. Directional Antenna Relative Field Values											
	[Fill in this subform for a composite directional (not off-the-shelf) antenna, only.]											
	e. Directional Antenna Relative Field Values:											
	Rotation (Degrees): No Rotation											
	Degrees	Value	Degrees	Value	Degrees	Value	Degrees	Value	Degrees	Value	Degrees	Value
	0		10		20		30		40		50	
	60		70		80		90		100		110	
	120		130		140		150		160		170	
	180		190		200		210		220		230	
	240		250		260		270		280		290	
	300		310		320		330		340		350	
	Additional Azimuths											
			'		<u> </u>	Relative	Field Polar Plot				<u> </u>	
							t be satisfied. Ex				[Exhibit	
11.	Does the proposed facility satisfy the interference protection provisions of 47 C.F.R. Section 73.623(a)? (Applicable only if Certification Checklist items 1(a), (b), or (c) are answered "No".) If No, attach as an Exhibit justification therefore, including a summary of any previously granted waivers.						O Yes O No [Exhibit 42]					
12.	If the proposed facility will not satisfy the coverage requirement of 47 C.F.R. Section 73.625, attach as an Exhibit justification therefore. (Applicable only if Certification Checklist item 3 is answered "No.")							[Exhibit 43]				
3.	3. Environmental Protection Act. Submit in an Exhibit the following:						[Exhibit 44]					
	If Certification Checklist Item 2 is answered "Yes," a brief explanation of why an Environmental Assessment is not required. Also describe in the Exhibit the steps that will be taken to limit RF radiation exposure to the public and to persons authorized access to the tower site. By checking "Yes" to Certification Checklist Item 2, the applicant also certifies that it, in coordination with other users of the site, will reduce power or cease operation as necessary to protect persons having access to the site, tower or antenna from radiofrequency electromagnetic exposure in excess of FCC guidelines.											
	If Certification	on Checklist I	tem 2 is answere	ed "No," an En	vironmental Asse	essment as requ	uired by 47 C.F.R	Section 1.131	1.			
PR	PREPARERS CERTIFICATION ON SECTION III MUST BE COMPLETED AND SIGNED.											

SECTION III - PREPARER'S CERTIFICATION

I certify that I have prepared Section III (Engineering Data) on behalf of the applicant, and that after such preparation, I have examined and found it to be accurate and true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Name	Relationship to Applicant (e.g., C	onsulting Engineer)	
RICHARD H. MERTZ	CONSULTANT		
Signature	Date		
	6/30/2005		
Mailing Address	·		
CAVELL, MERTZ & DAVIS, INC.			
7839 ASHTON AVENUE			
City	State or Country (if foreign address)	Zip Code	
MANASSAS	VA	20109 -	
Telephone Number (include area code)	E-Mail Address (if available)		
7033929090	RMERTZ@CMDCONSULTING.COM		

WILLFUL FALSE STATEMENTS ON THIS FORM ARE PUNISHABLE BY FINE AND/OR IMPRISONMENT (U.S. CODE, TITLE 18, SECTION 1001), AND/OR REVOCATION OF ANY STATION LICENSE OR CONSTRUCTION PERMIT (U.S. CODE, TITLE 47, SECTION 312(a)(1)), AND/OR FORFEITURE (U.S. CODE, TITLE 47, SECTION 503).

Exhibits

Exhibit 7

Description: EXHIBIT 7

EXHIBIT 7--A PETITION TO DENY RAISING CHARACTER ISSUES WAS FILED AGAINST THE LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION OF ACC LICENSEE, INC.('ACC'), LICENSEE OF WJLA-TV, WASHINGTON, DC. SEE FCC FILE NO. BRCT-20040526ADY. ACC IS A COMMONLY CONTROLLED AFFILIATE OF WSET, INCORPORATED.

2 of 3 6/30/2005 11:42 AM

Attachment 7

Exhibit 42

Description: WSET-DT MODIFICATION OF CONSTRUCTION PERMIT - EXHIBIT 42

NATURE OF THE PROPOSAL

Attachment 42

Description

NATURE OF THE PROPOSAL

Exhibit 44

Description: WSET-DT MODIFICATION OF CONSTRUCTION PERMIT - EXHIBIT 44

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

Attachment 44

Description

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

3 of 3

Exhibit 44 - Statement B ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

prepared for

WSET Incorporated

WSET-DT Lynchburg, Virginia Facility ID 73988 Ch. 34 200 kW 568.4 m

The instant proposal is not believed to have a significant environmental impact as defined under Section 1.1306 of the Commission's Rules. Consequently, preparation of an Environmental Assessment is not required.

Nature of The Proposal

WSET Incorporated ("WSET") herein proposes to modify the current Construction Permit ("CP", BMPCDT-20021001AAJ) to specify a reduction in effective radiated power ("ERP") to 200 kW. WSET is currently authorized in a Special Temporary Authorization ("STA", BMDSTA-20050308ACJ) to operate the Channel 34 digital television ("DTV") facility described herein. The same site as that authorized for the existing WSET-TV analog Channel 13 facility will continue to be employed for WSET-DT. The licensed WSET-TV analog Channel 13 antenna is top-mounted on a steel tower structure. The WSET-DT antenna (employed for the STA facility) is side-mounted below the existing WSET-TV analog antenna.

The use of existing transmitting locations has been characterized as being environmentally preferable by the Commission, according to Note 1 of §1.1306 of the FCC Rules. Since no change in overall structure height is proposed, no change in current structure marking and lighting requirements is anticipated. Therefore, it is believed that this application may be categorically excluded from environmental processing pursuant to §1.1306 of the Commission's rules.

Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Field

The proposed operation was evaluated for human exposure to radiofrequency energy using the procedures outlined in the Commission's <u>OET Bulletin No. 65</u> ("<u>OET 65</u>"). <u>OET 65</u> describes a means of determining whether a proposed facility exceeds the radiofrequency exposure guidelines adopted in §1.1310. Under present Commission policy, a facility may be presumed to comply with the limits specified in §1.1310 if it satisfies the exposure criteria set forth in OET 65. Based upon

Exhibit 44 - Statement B (Page 2 of 3)

that methodology, and as demonstrated in the following, the proposed transmitting system will comply with the cited adopted guidelines.

The proposed WSET-DT antenna will have a center of radiation 315.5 meters above ground level. An ERP of 200 kilowatts, horizontally polarized, will be employed. According to elevation pattern data provided by the antenna manufacturer, the WSET-DT antenna has a relative field of 10 percent or less from 10 to 90 degrees below the horizontal plane (i.e.: below the antenna). Thus, a value of 10 percent relative field is used for this calculation. The "uncontrolled/general population" limit specified in §1.1310 for Channel 34 (center frequency 593 MHz) is 395.3 μ W/cm².

<u>OET-65's</u> formula for television transmitting antennas is based on the NTSC transmission standards, where the average power is normally much less than the peak power. For the DTV facility in the instant proposal, the peak-to-average ratio is different than the NTSC ratio. The DTV ERP figure herein refers to the *average* power level. The formula used for calculating DTV signal density in this analysis is essentially the same as equation (9) in <u>OET-65</u>.

$$S = (33.4098) (F^2) (ERP) / D^2$$

Where:

S = power density in microwatts/cm² ERP = total (average) ERP in Watts F = relative field factor D = distance in meters

Using this formula, the proposed facility would contribute a power density of $0.68 \,\mu\text{W/cm}^2$ at two meters above ground level near antenna support structure, or 0.17 percent of the general population/uncontrolled limit. At ground level locations away from the base of the tower, the calculated RF power density is even lower, due to the increasing distance from the transmitting antenna.

Exhibit 44 - Statement B (Page 3 of 3)

§1.1307(b)(3) states that facilities contributing less than five percent of the exposure limit at locations with multiple transmitters (such as the case at hand) are categorically excluded from responsibility for taking any corrective action in the areas where their contribution is less than five percent. Since the instant situation meets the five percent exclusion test at all ground level areas, the impact of the any other facilities using this site or at a nearby site may be considered independently from this proposal. Accordingly, it is believed that the impact of the proposed operation should not be considered to be a factor at or near ground level as defined under §1.1307(b).

Safety of Tower Workers and the General Public

As demonstrated herein, excessive levels of RF energy will not be caused at publicly accessible areas at ground level near the antenna supporting structure. Consequently, members of the general public will not be exposed to RF levels in excess of the Commission's guidelines. Nevertheless, tower access will continue to be restricted and controlled through the use of a locked fence. Additionally, appropriate RF exposure warning signs will continue to be posted.

With respect to worker safety, it is believed that based on the preceding analysis, excessive exposure would not occur in areas at ground level. A site exposure policy will continue to be employed protecting maintenance workers from excessive exposure when work must be performed on the tower (or on nearby towers) in areas where high RF levels may be present. Such protective measures may include, but will not be limited to, restriction of access to areas where levels in excess of the guidelines may be expected, power reduction, or the complete shutdown of facilities when work or inspections must be performed in areas where the exposure guidelines will be exceeded. On-site RF exposure measurements may also be undertaken to establish the bounds of safe working areas. The applicant will coordinate exposure procedures with all pertinent stations.

Conclusion

Based on the preceding, it is believed that the instant proposal may be categorically excluded from environmental processing under Section 1.1306 of the Rules, hence preparation of an Environmental Assessment is not required.