

BENJAMIN F. DAWSON III, PE  
THOMAS M. ECKELS, PE  
STEPHEN S. LOCKWOOD, PE  
DAVID J. PINION, PE  
ERIK C. SWANSON, PE

THOMAS S. GORTON, PE  
MICHAEL H. MEHIGAN, EIT

HATFIELD & DAWSON  
CONSULTING ELECTRICAL ENGINEERS  
9500 GREENWOOD AVE. N.  
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98103

TELEPHONE (206) 783-9151  
FACSIMILE (206) 789-9834  
E-MAIL [hatdaw@hatdaw.com](mailto:hatdaw@hatdaw.com)

JAMES B. HATFIELD, PE  
PAUL W. LEONARD, PE  
CONSULTANTS

MAURY L. HATFIELD, PE  
(1942-2009)

**Engineering Statement  
Application for Modification of Digital TV Translator Station  
K26KQ-D at Christmas Valley, OR  
March 2011**

This Engineering Statement has been prepared on behalf of Oregon Public Broadcasting, in connection with an application for modification of the construction permit for digital TV translator station K26KQ-D at Christmas Valley, Oregon.

**I. Allocation Study**

This application proposes to reduce the antenna height and ERP of the authorized K26KQ-D facility, but with no change in site coordinates or antenna pattern. Therefore, this modification will only decrease potential interference to other stations, and no detailed study was necessary to demonstrate interference protection.

**II. RF Exposure Study**

OET Bulletin 65 Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields (Edition 97-01) states in part that:

When performing an evaluation for compliance with the FCC's RF guidelines all significant contributors to the ambient RF environment should be considered. . . For purposes of such consideration, significance can be taken to mean any transmitter producing more than 5% of the applicable exposure limit (in terms of power density or the square of the electric or magnetic field strength) at accessible locations.

As will be demonstrated below, the proposed operation will produce less than 5% of the applicable exposure limit for both controlled and uncontrolled environments. Thus, the proposed facility is categorically excluded from the requirement of further study. Therefore, pursuant to §1.1307(b)(3) of the Commission's Rules no calculations are required for the other FM and TV facilities in the vicinity, and precise calculations are made only with regard to the levels from this proposal.

Hatfield & Dawson Consulting Engineers

The power density calculations shown below were made using the techniques outlined in OET Bulletin No. 65. "Ground level" calculations in this report have been made at a reference height of 2 meters above ground to provide a worst-case estimate of exposure for persons standing on the ground in the vicinity of the tower. The equation shown below was used to calculate the ground level power density figures from each antenna.

$$S(\text{mW} / \text{cm}^2) = \frac{33.40981 \times \text{AdjERP}(\text{Watts})}{D^2}$$

Where: *AdjERP(Watts)* is the maximum lobe effective radiated power times the element pattern factor times the array pattern factor.

*D* is the distance in meters from the center of radiation to the calculation point.

Power density levels produced by the proposed facility were calculated for an elevation of 2 meters above ground (10 meters below the antenna radiation center). The worst case power density levels occur at depression angles between 45 and 90 degrees below the horizontal. The calculations in this report assume a worst-case relative field value of 0.050 at these angles, based on the manufacturer's vertical plane pattern for the horizontally-polarized Scala PR-TV antenna proposed in this application. This relative field value yields a worst-case adjusted average effective radiated power of 1.25 Watts at depression angles between 45 and 90 degrees below the horizontal. Assuming this power and the shortest distance between the antenna radiation center and 2 meters above ground level (i.e. straight down), the highest calculated power density from the proposed antenna alone occurs at the base of the antenna support structure. At this point the power density is calculated to be 10.4  $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ , which is 2.9% of 363  $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$  (the FCC maximum for uncontrolled environments at the Channel 26 frequency).

These calculations show that the maximum calculated power density produced at two meters above ground level by the proposed operation alone is less than 5% of the applicable FCC exposure limit at all locations between 1 and 1000 meters from the base of the antenna support structure. Section 1.1307(b)(3) of the Commission's Rules excludes applications for new facilities or modifications to existing facilities from the requirement of preparing an environmental assessment when the calculated emissions from the applicants proposed facility are predicted to be less than 5% of the applicable FCC exposure limit. Therefore, the proposed facility is in compliance with

Section 1.1301 et seq and no further analysis of non-ionizing radiation at this site is required in this application.

Pursuant to OET Bulletin No. 65, all station personnel and contractors are required to follow appropriate safety procedures before any work is commenced on the antenna tower, including reduction in power or discontinuance of operation before any maintenance work is undertaken. The permittee/licensee in coordination with other users of the site must reduce power or cease operation as necessary to protect persons having access to the site, tower or antenna from radiofrequency radiation in excess of FCC guidelines.

March 22, 2011

Erik C. Swanson, P.E.