

## EXHIBIT 1

### Amendment

Golden Link TV, Inc. ("Golden Link") hereby submits this amendment in response to the Commission's letter of February 11, 2001.

As explained in our letter of March 12, 2001, requesting additional time in which to respond to the Commission's letter, the Commission stated that the proposed facilities would cause prohibited interference to the permitted facilities of KCRA-DT (Sacramento, California), and the proposed KPST-DT construction permit thus could not be granted.

Golden Link's consulting engineer reported that the KPST-DT application was prepared using Probe II™ software which produced results somewhat different from the Commission's findings. It appears that selection of cell size (*e.g.*, less than 2 km as permitted by OET-69) and/or grid alignment can have significant impact on the results obtained. The software provider is working with the Commission's staff and the Commission's software contractor to seek a resolution of these differences.

In the interim, Golden Link proposes to reduce the power to 150 kW (Section III-D, Question 9) which should alleviate the predicted interference. Only this parameter is being amended. It is expected that once the software issues have been resolved, Golden Link will request a higher power.