

Exhibit E-30

The proposed facility should be exempt from environmental processing as it would be located on an existing structure that is registered with the Commission. Since an existing structure would be utilized, there would be no additional environmental impact by the addition of the proposed facility. In addition, the proposed facility would not constitute an RF radiation exposure hazard to humans at the site.

The proposed tower would support the antennas for three facilities. Two of these facilities would be the Choteau and Fairfield, Montana facilities for which College Creek would be the licensee. In addition, KTZZ(FM) would also be located on the tower. The proposed facilities would each utilize a 16 bay half-wavelength spaced Shively 6800 series antenna. Each of these antennas would have a maximum predicted power density of $2.13 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ by the Commission's FM Model software package at ground level at a distance of 1122 meters from the base of the tower. In order to assume a worst case scenario, it will be assumed that this power density is the maximum experienced at all distances between the base of the tower and 1122 meters from the base of the tower. Since two antennas would be involved, the sum power density from the two College creek facilities would therefore be $4.26 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$.

The KTZZ(FM) antenna would be a Jampro 10 bay Double-V type antenna, which based on the center of radiation and effective radiated power, would have a predicted power density of $125.6 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ at a distance of 14 meters from the tower. This value and the distance of the maximum were also determined by the Commission's FM Model software package.

If the contribution from the proposed facilities is added to the contribution from KTZZ(FM), then the maximum predicted power density at ground level would be $129.9 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$. Since this predicted value is less than $200 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$, it is respectfully submitted that the proposed facility would not constitute an RF exposure hazard. Since the facility would be located on an existing tower and would not constitute an RF exposure hazard, it is respectfully submitted that the proposed facility should be exempt from environmental processing.