GREG BEST
CONSULTING, INC.

9223 N. Manning Ave.
Kansas City, MO 64157
816-792-2913

September 18, 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Media Bureau, Video Division
445 12th St. S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

In evaluating the proposed facility for BSFDTL20060630BFB, an evaluation of possible interference according to FCC rules was conducted.

PROPOSED STATION EVALUATION TO POSSIBLE INTERFERENCE CRITERIA

Proposed facility does not interfere with FCC Monitoring Stations

Proposed facility does not interfere with West Virginia quite zone

Proposed facility does not interfere with Table Mountain

Proposed facility is beyond the Canadian coordination distance

Proposed facility is within the Mexican border zone but coordination is dependent upon what coordination agreement is reached with the Mexican government. No known Mexican stations on channel 16 were found by this consultant's search. KVAW is located on the Mexican border on Channel 16 and the proposed facilities do not cause interference to KVAW so no interference is expected to be present at the Mexican border.

Proposed station is located 3 km away from a new application for an AM broadcast station with application file 20041216ADZ. The applicant for these proposed facilities will work with this station assuming the AM station is built at its current location to ensure that no impact on the AM station radiation pattern will occur. The antenna for the proposed companion channel facilities is planned to replace an existing antenna used by KVHC-LP and should have the same impact as the existing antenna.

There are spacing and/or contour violations with full service, digital, Class A, and Low Power TV stations.

An evaluation according to OET-69 is presented to support this proposed facility. In evaluating the proposed facility for BSFDTL20060630BFB , an outgoing interference study was executed using the OET-69 Longley Rice Methodology using a signal resolution of 1 km and a spacing increment of 1.0 km with an ERP of 15 kW using a Stringent emission mask. The CDBS database of 9/16/2006 was used for this analysis. The following stations were considered in the study:

Call Sign	FCC File Number	City	State	Distance	Bearing
K17GZ.C (17Z)	BNPTTL20000831BJP	Harper	TX	32.7	345.5
K60GE.A (19-)	BPTTL20021007ACB	San Antonio	TX	54.7	154.3
K61FM.C (17+)	BPTTL20011009AAB	Uvalde	TX	106.1	214.0
KADT-C (16Z)	BLTTL19990915AVI	Killeen	TX	176.0	48.6
KADT-C.A (16Z)	BPTTA20031201ABL	Austin	TX	132.2	76.7
KEDT (16Z)	BLET19831214KH	Corpus Christi	TX	307.3	149.7
KHCE-D (16)	BLEDT20050209AKG	San Antonio	TX	120.4	134.6

KMXU-L.A (15+)	BMPTTL20060321AES	San Antonio	TX	91.5	137.9
KNIC-C (17-)	BLTTL19910311JJ	San Antonio	TX	93.5	137.9
KNICTV.C (17Z)	BNPCT20000817AAF	Blanco	TX	72.3	123.2
KSAN-D.C (16)	BMPCDT20040802AMM	San Angelo	TX	213.6	324.7
KVAT-L (17+)	BLTTL20041214AEC	Garfield	TX	132.3	76.7
KVAW (16+)	BLCT19910614KH	Eagle Pass	TX	196.5	221.7
KVHC-L (15-)	BLTTL20040212AAR	Kerrville	TX	0.0	0.0
KXAMTV (14-)	BLCT19910916KE	Llano	TX	88.1	38.5
NEW (17Z)	BPRM20020308ABT	Blanco	TX	71.3	121.7

Of the considered stations, the following stations showed possible interference:

Call Sign	FCC File Number
KHCE-D (16)	BLEDT20050209AKG
KNICTV.C (17Z)	BNPCT20000817AAF
KVHC-L (15-)	BLTTL20040212AAR
NEW (17Z)	BPRM20020308ABT

Each of the above stations was evaluated for incoming interference using the OET-69 Longley Rice methodology. In each case except for the interference to KVHC, there was zero percent (when rounded to the nearest percent) interference present. The following table identifies the actual percentage interference from the incoming interference analyses. The interference to KVHC is acceptable to them as evidenced by the letter attached.

Call Sign	FCC File Number	Percentage Interference
KHCE-D (16)	BLEDT20050209AKG	0.4 %
KNICTV.C (17Z)	BNPCT20000817AAF	0.3 %
KVHC-L (15-)	BLTTL20040212AAR	64.9 %
NEW (17Z)	BPRM20020308ABT	0.0 % (Masked)

Should you have any questions concerning this analysis, please contact me and I will be happy to help.

Sincerely,

Greg Best

President