

Exhibit 43 - Statement C
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS
prepared for
Pacific and Southern Company, Inc.
WLTX-DT Columbia, South Carolina
Facility ID 37176
Ch. 17 1000 kW 500 m

The instant proposal is not believed to have a significant environmental impact as defined under Section 1.1306 of the Commission's Rules. Consequently, preparation of an Environmental Assessment is not required.

Nature of The Proposal

Pacific and Southern Company, Inc. ("Pacific") herein proposes to modify the Construction Permit for WLTX-DT, a new digital television ("DTV") station on Channel 17, paired with WLTX(TV) analog Channel 19, Columbia, South Carolina. *Pacific* is proposing a slight reduction of the authorized antenna height on an existing "common" antenna supporting structure (FCC Registration number 1044489) currently authorized for WLTX-DT and WLTX(TV).

Based on information provided by the applicant, it is believed that the provisions of Section 1.1307(a)(1-7) would not apply in this case.

The use of existing transmitting locations has been characterized as being environmentally preferable by the Commission, according to Note 1 of §1.1306 of the FCC Rules. No change in overall structure height is proposed, thus no change in current structure marking and lighting requirements is anticipated. Therefore, it is believed that this application may be categorically excluded from environmental processing pursuant to §1.1306 of the Commission's rules.

Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Radiation

The proposed operation was evaluated for human exposure to radiofrequency energy using the procedures outlined in the Commission's OET Bulletin No. 65 ("OET 65"). OET 65 describes a means of determining whether a proposed facility exceeds the radiofrequency exposure guidelines adopted in §1.1310. Under present Commission policy, a facility may be presumed to comply with the limits specified in §1.1310 if it satisfies the exposure criteria set forth in OET 65. Based upon

Exhibit 43 - Statement C
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS
(page 2 of 3)

that methodology, and as demonstrated in the following, the proposed transmitting system will comply with the cited adopted guidelines.

The WLTX-DT antenna will be installed such that its center of radiation is 478.2 meters above ground level. An effective radiated power (“ERP”) of 1000 kilowatts, horizontally polarized, will be employed. According to elevation pattern data provided by the antenna manufacturer, the proposed WLTX-DT antenna will have a relative field of 12 percent or less from 10 to 90 degrees below the horizontal plane (i.e.: below the antenna). Thus, a value of 12 percent relative field is used for this calculation. The “uncontrolled/general population” limit specified in §1.1310 for Channel 17 (center frequency 491 MHz) is 327.3 $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$.

OET-65’s formula for television transmitting antennas is based on the NTSC transmission standards, where the average power is normally much less than the peak power. For the DTV facility in the instant proposal, the peak-to-average ratio is different than the NTSC ratio. The DTV ERP figure herein refers to the *average* power level. The formula used for calculating DTV signal density in this analysis is essentially the same as equation (9) in OET-65.

$$S = (33.4098) (F^2) (ERP) / D^2$$

.Where:	S	=	power density in microwatts/cm ²
	ERP	=	total (average) ERP in Watts
	F	=	relative field factor
	D	=	distance in meters

Using this formula, the proposed facility would contribute a power density of 2.12 $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ at two meters above ground level near antenna support structure, or 0.65 percent of the general population/uncontrolled limit. At ground level locations away from the base of the tower, the calculated RF power density is even lower, due to the increasing distance from the antenna.

§1.1307(b)(3) states that facilities contributing less than five percent of the exposure limit at locations with multiple transmitters are categorically excluded from responsibility for taking any corrective action in the areas where their contribution is less than five percent. Since the instant situation meets the five percent exclusion test at all ground level areas, the impact of any other

Exhibit 43 - Statement C
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS
(page 3 of 3)

facilities near this site may be considered independently from this proposal. Accordingly, it is believed that the impact of the proposed operation should not be considered to be a factor at or near ground level as defined under §1.1307(b).

Safety of Tower Workers and the General Public

As demonstrated herein, excessive levels of RF energy will not be caused at publicly accessible areas at ground level near the antenna supporting structure. Consequently, members of the general public will not be exposed to RF levels in excess of the Commission's guidelines. Nevertheless, tower access will be restricted and controlled through the use of a locked fence. Additionally, appropriate RF exposure warning signs will be posted.

With respect to worker safety, it is believed that based on the preceding analysis, excessive exposure would not occur in areas at ground level. A site exposure policy will be employed protecting maintenance workers from excessive exposure when work must be performed on the tower in areas where high RF levels may be present. Such protective measures may include, but will not be limited to, restriction of access to areas where levels in excess of the guidelines may be expected, power reduction, or the complete shutdown of facilities when work or inspections must be performed in areas where the exposure guidelines will be exceeded. On-site RF exposure measurements may also be undertaken to establish the bounds of safe working areas. The applicant will coordinate exposure procedures with all pertinent stations.

Conclusion

Based on the preceding, it is believed that the instant proposal may be categorically excluded from environmental processing under Section 1.1306 of the Rules, hence preparation of an Environmental Assessment is not required.

Exhibit 43 Statement C:
prepared May 14, 2002 by
Mark B. Peabody,
Cavell, Mertz & Davis, Inc.
7839 Ashton Avenue
Manassas, VA 20109
703-392-9090

ENGINEERING EXHIBIT

Application for Modification of Digital Television Station Construction Permit

prepared for

Pacific and Southern Company, Inc.
WLTX-DT Columbia, South Carolina

Facility ID 37176
Ch. 17 1000 kW 500 m

Table of Contents

FCC Form 301, Section III-D

Exhibit 40

Statement A	Proposed Antenna System
Figure 1	Antenna Horizontal Plane Radiation Pattern
Figure 2, 2A	Antenna Vertical (Elevation) Plane Pattern

Exhibit 41

Statement B	Allocation Considerations - Interference Analysis
Table 1	Interference Analysis Results Summary

Exhibit 43

Statement C	Environmental Considerations
-------------	------------------------------

This material supplies a "hard copy" of the engineering portions of this application as entered May14, 2002 for filing electronically. Since the FCC's electronic filing system may be accessed by anyone with the applicant's name and password, and electronic data may otherwise be altered in an unauthorized fashion, we cannot be responsible for changes made subsequent to our entry of this data and related attachments.

SECTION III-D - DTV Engineering	
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS	
Ensure that the specifications below are accurate. Contradicting data found elsewhere in this application will be disregarded. All items must be completed. The response "on file" is not acceptable.	
TECH BOX	
1.	Channel Number: DTV 17 Analog TV, if any 19
2.	Zone: I <input type="radio"/> II <input checked="" type="radio"/> III <input type="radio"/>
3.	Antenna Location Coordinates: (NAD 27) Latitude: Degrees 34 Minutes 5 Seconds 49 <input checked="" type="radio"/> North <input type="radio"/> South Longitude: Degrees 80 Minutes 45 Seconds 51 <input checked="" type="radio"/> West <input type="radio"/> East
4.	Antenna Structure Registration Number: 1044489 <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/> Notification filed with FAA
5.	Antenna Location Site Elevation Above Mean Sea Level: 104.3 meters
6.	Overall Tower Height Above Ground Level: 520.2 meters
7.	Height of Radiation Center Above Ground Level: 478.2 meters
8.	Height of Radiation Center Above Average Terrain : 499.8 meters
9.	Maximum Effective Radiated Power : 1000 kW
10.	Antenna Specifications: a. Manufacturer DIE Model TFU-26DSC-R-S270 b. Electrical Beam Tilt: 0.75 degrees <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable c. Mechanical Beam Tilt: degrees toward azimuth degrees True <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable Attach as an Exhibit all data specified in 47 C.F.R. Section 73.685. [Exhibit 39] d. Polarization: <input checked="" type="radio"/> Horizontal <input type="radio"/> Circular <input type="radio"/> Elliptical e. Directional Antenna Relative Field Values: <input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable (Nondirectional) [For a composite directional (not off-the-shelf) antenna, press the following button to fill in the relative field values subform.] [Relative Field Values] <div style="text-align: center; padding: 10px;"> 10e. Directional Antenna Relative Field Values [Fill in this subform for a composite directional (not off-the-shelf) antenna, only.] </div>
e. Directional Antenna Relative Field Values:	
Rotation (Degrees): 0 <input type="checkbox"/> No Rotation	

Degrees	Value	Degrees	Value	Degrees	Value	Degrees	Value	Degrees	Value	Degrees	Value
0	0.206	10	0.252	20	0.296	30	0.313	40	0.296	50	0.252
60	0.206	70	0.198	80	0.247	90	0.324	100	0.403	110	0.474
120	0.537	130	0.595	140	0.65	150	0.708	160	0.77	170	0.834
180	0.897	190	0.95	200	0.987	210	1	220	0.987	230	0.95
240	0.897	250	0.834	260	0.77	270	0.708	280	0.65	290	0.595
300	0.537	310	0.474	320	0.403	330	0.324	340	0.247	350	0.198
Additional Azimuths											
<p>If a directional antenna is proposed, the requirements of 47 C.F.R. Sections 73.625(c) must be satisfied. Exhibit required. [Exhibit 40]</p>											
11.	<p>Does the proposed facility satisfy the interference protection provisions of 47 C.F.R. Section 73.623(a)? (Applicable only if Certification Checklist items 1(a), (b), or (c) are answered "No".) <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p> <p>If No, attach as an Exhibit justification therefore, including a summary of any previously granted waivers. [Exhibit 41]</p>										
12.	<p>If the proposed facility will not satisfy the coverage requirement of 47 C.F.R. Section 73.625, attach as an Exhibit justification therefore. (Applicable only if Certification Checklist item 3 is answered "No".) [Exhibit 42]</p>										
13.	<p>Environmental Protection Act. Submit in an Exhibit the following: [Exhibit 43]</p> <p>If Certification Checklist Item 2 is answered "Yes," a brief explanation of why an Environmental Assessment is not required. Also describe in the Exhibit the steps that will be taken to limit RF radiation exposure to the public and to persons authorized access to the tower site.</p> <p>By checking "Yes" to Certification Checklist Item 2, the applicant also certifies that it, in coordination with other users of the site, will reduce power or cease operation as necessary to protect persons having access to the site, tower or antenna from radiofrequency electromagnetic exposure in excess of FCC guidelines.</p> <p>If Certification Checklist Item 2 is answered "No," an Environmental Assessment as required by 47 C.F.R Section 1.1311.</p>										
<p>PREPARERS CERTIFICATION ON SECTION III MUST BE COMPLETED AND SIGNED.</p>											

SECTION III - PREPARER'S CERTIFICATION

I certify that I have prepared Section III (Engineering Data) on behalf of the applicant, and that after such preparation, I have examined and found it to be accurate and true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Name MARK B. PEABODY		Relationship to Applicant (e.g., Consulting Engineer) CONSULTANT	
Signature		Date 5/14/2002	
Mailing Address CAVELL, MERTZ & DAVIS, INC. 7839 ASHTON AVENUE			
City MANASSAS		State or Country (if foreign address) VA	Zip Code 20109 -
Telephone Number (include area code) 7033929090		E-Mail Address (if available) MPEABODY@CMDCONSULTING.COM	

WILLFUL FALSE STATEMENTS ON THIS FORM ARE PUNISHABLE BY FINE AND/OR IMPRISONMENT (U.S. CODE, TITLE 18, SECTION 1001), AND/OR REVOCATION OF ANY STATION LICENSE OR CONSTRUCTION PERMIT (U.S. CODE, TITLE 47, SECTION 312(a)(1)), AND/OR FORFEITURE (U.S. CODE, TITLE 47, SECTION 503).

Exhibits

Attachment 39

Exhibit 40

Description: EXHIBIT 40 - NATURE OF APPLICATION AND PROPOSED ANTENNA SYSTEM

ATTACHED AS EXHIBIT 40

Attachment 40

Description	Type	Conversion	
		Status	File
Exhibit 40 - Nature of Proposal and Proposed Antenna System	Adobe Acrobat File	not needed	PDF

Exhibit 41

Description: EXHIBIT 41- ALLOCATIONS CONSIDERATIONS AND INTERFERENCE ANALYSIS

ATTACHED AS EXHIBIT 41

Attachment 41

Description	Type	Conversion	
		Status	File
Exhibit 41 - Allocations Considerations and Interference Analysis	Adobe Acrobat File	not needed	PDF

Exhibit 43

Description: EXHIBIT 43 - ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

ATTACHED AS EXHIBIT 43

Attachment 43

Description	Type	Conversion	
		Status	File
Exhibit 43 - Environmental Considerations	Adobe Acrobat File	not needed	PDF