

[Exhibit 12]

## **Non-Interference Compliance**

Regarding Facility id 142022

Channel 286

### **Description of Exhibit 12 Contents**

This exhibit demonstrates that the proposed facility complies with contour overlap and interference protection provisions in all of the applicable rule sections and that this application for a construction permit is in full compliance with 47 C.F.R. § 74.1204.

**Let it be noted that should any actual real world interference occur, the applicant acknowledges that it will promptly suspend operation of this translator in accordance with 47 C.F.R. § 74.1203.**

Page 2 of this exhibit is an explanation of the method used to demonstrate compliance with contour overlap and interference provisions based on 47 C.F.R. § 74.1204(d), which states:

*[A]n application otherwise precluded by this section will be accepted if it can be demonstrated that no actual interference will occur due to intervening terrain, lack of population or such other factors as may be applicable.*

Page 3 of this exhibit contains the tabulated data from the interference analysis, which shows all stations whose protected contours come within 50 km of the 34 dB $\mu$  F(50,10) contour of the proposed translator. These tabulated values were calculated using data from the FCC's CDBS files and 30 arc second terrain data. The column labeled "Adj" shows the number of channels difference between the entry and the proposed translator. The column labeled "Dist" shows the distance in km. The column labeled "Overlap" shows the area of contour overlap in square kilometers.

Page 4 of this exhibit is a portion of a USGS 1:24,000 scale 7.5 minute quadrangle at full scale with the calculated area of interference overlaid. The sheet includes the quadrangle name and measurement scale at the bottom-left corner (note: "Mt" refers to meters). The area of interference was calculated using the free space equation and 120 radials.

## Compliance with 47 C.F.R. § 74.1204(d)

All authorized second and third adjacent stations with which the proposed translator has contour overlap are tabulated below. Column four show the station's signal level at the proposed translator's tower site, and column five gives the minimum value within the entire standard interfering contour of the proposed translator (100 dBμ for most classes, 94 for class B, 97 for class B1). The minimum second or third adjacent F(50,50) contour within the proposed translator's standard interfering contour was used to calculate the proposed translator's actual "worst-case" interfering contour.

<b>Application_id</b>	<b>File Number</b>	<b>Callsign</b>	<b>Contour at Tower</b>	<b>Min. Contour</b>
1007736	BMLED20040514AB E	KJJP	80.4	80.4
	Minimum F(50,50) Contour of Adjacent Station within Proposed Translator's Standard Interfering Contour			<b>80.4</b>

FCC 02-244 at Section II.A.5 states that "when demonstrating that 'no actual interference will occur due to . . . other factors,' pursuant to Section 74.1204(d), an applicant may use the undesired-to-desired signal ratio method." The undesired-to-desired ratio for second and third adjacent stations required by § 74.1204(a) is 40 dB. Since the minimum protected contour strength within the proposed translator's standard interference contour is **80.4 dBμ**, this makes the proposed translator's worst-case interfering contour **120.4 dBμ**. By the free-space equation, this contour is calculated to extend a maximum of **67 m** from the transmit antenna.

The interfering contour of the proposed translator was calculated for 120 radials and plotted on the pertinent portion of a USGS quadrangle (page 4 of this exhibit). As demonstrated on the quadrangle, there are no populated structures or highways within the area of interference (Note: FCC 02-244 at Section II.A.6 states that USGS quadrangles "have been recognized as acceptable to demonstrate lack of population"). Hence, in accordance with 47 C.F.R. § 74.1204(d) and the clarification provided by the FCC in the decision *Re: Living Way Ministries* (FCC 02-244), a lack of population has been demonstrated within the area of interference and this application is therefore in full compliance with 47 C.F.R. § 74.1204.

**Antenna Manufacturer:** NIC  
**Antenna Model:** BKG77  
**CORAGL:** 16 m  
**Maximum ERP:** 0.1 kW  
**Interfering Contour:** 120.4 dBμ  
**Max Int. Contour Distance:** 67 m


**Adjacent Channel Study  
For Station K284BH, Facility\_id: 142022**

**Co-channel through third adjacent:**

Application_id	Facility_id	Prefix	ARN	Call	Licensee	Class	City	State	Status	ERP	RCAMSL	Channel	Adj	Dist	Overlap
1167085	33273	BXLED	20070108ABW	KJJP	KANZA SOCIETY, INC	C2	AMARILLO	TX	LIC	1	1192	289	3	15.1	0.5967
1150333	33273	BXLED	20061107ACE	KJJP	KANZA SOCIETY, INC	C2	AMARILLO	TX	LIC	1	1192	289	3	15.1	0.5967
1007736	33273	BMLD	20040514ABE	KJJP	KANZA SOCIETY, INC.	C2	AMARILLO	TX	LIC	43	1215	289	3	15.1	0.5967
1225109	153649	BLFT	20071214AAK	K284AZ	EDGEWATER BROADCASTING INC.	D	BORGER	TX	LIC	0.01	968	284	2	67.2	0
1087734	135261	BLL	20050927ADG	KDSH-LP	LIVING RIVER MINISTRIES, INC.	L1	BORGER	TX	LIC	0	980	286	0	73	0
646903	153685	BNPFT	20030317GJI	NEW	EDGEWATER BROADCASTING, INC.	D	DUMAS	TX	APP	0.092	1222.4	284	2	84.3	0
1209583	26169	BLH	20071004AAL	KLSR-FM	DAVIS BROADCAST CO., INC	C1	MEMPHIS	TX	LIC	100	893	287	1	121.7	0
1091937	1302	BMLH	20051013AAC	KBTE	DOVE MEDIA, LLP	C1	TULIA	TX	LIC	96.6	1286	285	1	136.9	0

**Intermediate Frequencies (53 and 54 channels difference):**

Application_id	Facility_id	Prefix	ARN	Call	Licensee	Class	City	State	Status	ERP	RCAMSL	Channel	Adj	Dist	Clr
----------------	-------------	--------	-----	------	----------	-------	------	-------	--------	-----	--------	---------	-----	------	-----



Facility id: 142022;  
Area of Interference;