

Exhibit Nos. 7 & 16

FCC Form 316

Section II, Question 7(b)

Section III, Question 8(b)

Rocky Mountain Broadcasting Company

KMTF(TV), Ch 29, Helena, Montana

Page 1

Character Issues

The information set forth herein concerns Rocky Mountain Broadcasting Company (“RMBC”), the licensee on whose behalf this transfer of control application is filed. While the below-mentioned pleadings do not appear to raise reportable matters, the following information is provided in the nature of full disclosure.

Issues Raised in Connection with Dismissed Broadcast Applications:

On February 13, 2004, MMM License, LLC (“MMM”) filed a Petition to Revoke and Deny the Licenses, Authorizations and Applications of RMBC, concerning RMBC’s Application for Television Translator Station Channel 28, Great Falls, Montana (Facility ID 131183), FCC File No. BNPTT-20000828BIK (“RMBC Translator Application”), and RMBC’s Application for On-Channel Booster Station Channel 10, Great Falls, Montana (Facility ID 159494), FCC File No. BNPTVB-20000930BBS (“RMBC Booster Application”).¹ In the MMM Petition, MMM alleges that RMBC lacks the necessary financial resources to complete construction on any of the above-referenced Applications, that RMBC made material misrepresentations concerning its financial qualifications, and that it violated the FCC’s multiple ownership rule (47 C.F.R. § 73.3555). MMM also filed a First Supplement to the MMM Petition (dated March 30, 2004) in which MMM puts forth additional speculative arguments as to the issues it raised initially in the MMM Petition (“First Supplement”). Through counsel, RMBC filed its Opposition to the MMM Petition on February 26, 2004, and filed its Motion to Strike and Opposition to First Supplement of MMM License, LLC on April 13, 2004. In both of these responsive pleadings, RMBC demonstrates that MMM has offered only speculative claims concerning RMBC that are wholly unsupported by anyone with personal knowledge, and are in no way supported by the Commission’s rules and application procedures. RMBC has been consistently diligent in its filings before the Commission, and has attempted in all respects to comply with the Commission’s processing rules.

On January 16, 2004, KRTV Communications, Inc. (“Cordillera”) filed an Informal Objection to RMBC’s Translator Application alleging that RMBC violated Commission policy with respect to site availability. On May 17, 2004, Cordillera filed a Petition to Deny the Booster Application of RMBC restating essentially the same items as in its prior filings. In late May of 2004, RMBC responded to the Cordillera pleadings reiterating that neither the Cordillera

¹ Both the Translator Application and the Booster Application have been dismissed by the Commission following RMBC’s decision not to amend them to digital channels as required by DA 10-496, released March 25, 2010, for continued processing. No decision on the pleadings addressed herein has been issued by the Commission.

Exhibit Nos. 7 & 16

FCC Form 316

Section II, Question 7(b)

Section III, Question 8(b)

Rocky Mountain Broadcasting Company

KMTF(TV), Ch 29, Helena, Montana

Page 2

nor the MMM statements in their various pleadings hold any merit and that all should be dismissed by the Commission. Both the MMM Petition and the Cordillera pleadings include allegations that were rejected by the Commission in its January 11, 2011 Memorandum Opinion and Order regarding Channel 20, Idaho Falls, Idaho, and Meridian Communications of Idaho, Inc. (File No. BPCT-1950306KF).