

BENJAMIN F. DAWSON III, PE
THOMAS M. ECKELS, PE
STEPHEN S. LOCKWOOD, PE
DAVID J. PINION, PE

PAUL W. LEONARD, PE
ERIK C. SWANSON, PE
THOMAS S. GORTON, PE
MICHAEL H. MEHIGAN, EIT

HATFIELD & DAWSON
CONSULTING ELECTRICAL ENGINEERS
9500 GREENWOOD AVE. N.
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98103

TELEPHONE (206) 783-9151
FACSIMILE (206) 789-9834
E-MAIL hatdaw@hatdaw.com

JAMES B. HATFIELD, PE
CONSULTANT

MAURY L. HATFIELD, PE
CONSULTANT
OAKHURST, NSW
AUSTRALIA

**Engineering Statement
Digital Flash Cut Application for K65AE
(Analog Displacement CP as K34JR)
Channel 34 at Terrebonne, OR
August 2009**

This Engineering Statement has been prepared on behalf of NVT Portland Licensee, LLC, licensee of TV translator station K65AE at Terrebonne, Oregon. K65AE holds an analog displacement construction permit on Channel 34 as K34JR. This material has been prepared in connection with an application for digital flash cut on Channel 34.

I. Allocation Study

Study has been made of all cochannel and adjacent-channel facilities in the vicinity of the proposed operation, including a detailed Longley-Rice interference study to demonstrate that the proposed operation will not cause interference to any facilities with which contour overlap exists. This study was performed using the SunDTV program from V-Soft Communications and a 1 km grid spacing. The SunDTV program identically duplicates the FCC's OET-69 processing program.

The results of this study indicate that the proposed facility is predicted to cause zero additional interference to any of the listed stations.

Based on the foregoing allocation and interference study, it is believed that the proposed facility can operate without risk of interference to other stations.

Summary Study

Census data selected: 2000

Post DTV Transition Database Selected

TV INTERFERENCE and SPACING ANALYSIS PROGRAM

Date: 07-29-2009 Time: 21:28:06

Record Selected for Analysis

K65AE USERRECORD-06 TERREBONNE OR US
 Channel 34 ERP 4. kW HAAT 67. m RCAMSL 00842 m STRINGENT MASK
 Latitude 044-34-45 Longitude 0121-09-09
 Status APP Zone 2 Border
 Dir Antenna Make usr Model USRPAT06 Beam tilt N Ref Azimuth 191.
 Last update Cutoff date Docket
 Comments
 Applicant

Cell Size for Service Analysis 1.0 km/side

Distance Increments for Longley-Rice Analysis 1.00 km

Not full service station

Facility meets maximum power limit

Azimuth (Deg)	ERP (kW)	HAAT (m)	51.0 dBu F(50,90) (km)
0.0	0.036	111.7	13.5
45.0	0.003	57.4	5.6
90.0	0.015	33.0	6.2
135.0	0.436	33.0	14.0
180.0	3.618	33.0	23.4
225.0	1.753	33.0	20.0
270.0	0.024	94.5	11.5
315.0	0.017	146.7	13.0

Contour Overlap to Proposed Station

Contour Overlap Evaluation to Proposed Station Complete

LANDMOBILE SPACING VIOLATIONS FOUND

NONE

Proposed facility OK to FCC Monitoring Stations

Proposed facility OK toward West Virginia quiet zone

Proposed facility OK toward Table Mountain

Proposed facility is beyond the Canadian coordination distance

Proposed facility is beyond the Mexican coordination distance

Proposed station is OK toward AM broadcast stations

Start of Interference Analysis

Channel	Proposed Station		
34	Call	City/State	ARN
	K65AE	TERREBONNE OR	USERRECORD06

Stations Potentially Affected by Proposed Station

Chan	Call	City/State	Dist(km)	Status	Application	Ref. No.
33	K33AG	BEND OR	57.5	LIC	BLTTL	-19871223ID
33	K33AG	BEND OR	57.5	CP	BDFCDTT	-20060330ADV
33	K57GW	LONDON SPRINGS OR	187.0	CP	BDISTT	-20051122AEP
33	K57GW	LONDON SPRINGS OR	186.9	CP	BDISDTT	-20081105ADM
33	KRCW-TV	SALEM OR	162.0	CP	BPCDT	-20080619AKY
33	KRCW-TV	SALEM OR	162.0	LIC	BMLCDT	-20070123ABS
33	K33CJ	WASCO/HEPPNER OR	141.6	LIC	BLTTL	-19980903JE
34	K34KJ	CRESCENT CITY, ETC. CA	380.0	LIC	BLTTL	-20090126ABG
34	K34DC	ASTORIA OR	286.0	LIC	BLTT	-19920505IJ
34	K34IC	GLIDE OR	203.3	LIC	BLTTL	-20061113AAJ
34	K40AM	HOOD RIVER OR	133.5	CP	BDISTT	-20070815ABG
34	K40AM	HOOD RIVER, ETC. OR	133.9	APP	BSTA	-20070815ABP
34	K34DI	LA GRANDE OR	162.4	LIC	BLTT	-19920304II
34	K34AI	LA PINE OR	83.2	CP	BDFCDTT	-20090630AEM
34	K34BV	MURPHY, ETC. OR	309.2	LIC	BLTT	-19880715IE
34	K34BV	MURPHY, ETC. OR	295.8	APP	BSTA	-20060707AFC
34	K34AI	NORTH LA PINE OR	83.2	LIC	BLTT	-19881013IB
34	K34DJ	PHOENIX, ETC. OR	284.4	LIC	BLTT	-19920408IC
34	KKEI-LD	PORTLAND OR	163.2	CP	BDCCDTL	-20061027ACO
34	K61EH	POWERS OR	298.8	CP	BDISDTT	-20090211ADI
34	K34AI	SUNRIVER OR	83.2	CP	BPTT	-20050606AIA
34	K34IF	WALLOWA OR	309.6	LIC	BLTT	-20080902ACX
34	K34HK	LONGVIEW WA	226.7	LIC	BLTTL	-20080509AAL
34	K34HK	LONGVIEW WA	226.8	APP	BSTA	-20061109ADS
34	KIRO-TV	OLYMPIA WA	303.4	CP	BDRTCT	-20090403ACA
34	K34JV-D	WALLA WALLA, ETC. WA	265.8	CP	BDCCDTT	-20070418ACO
34	K34EM	WENATCHEE WA	326.5	CP	BDFCDTT	-20060329AES
34	K34EM	WENATCHEE WA	326.5	LIC	BLTT	-19971030JA
35	K55HE	LONDON SPRINGS OR	187.0	CP	BDISTT	-20051122AEL
35	K55HE	LONDON SPRINGS OR	186.9	CP	BDISDTT	-20081105ADL
35	KORK-CA	PORTLAND OR	163.2	LIC	BLTTA	-20070831ACZ
35	K35HJ	PRINEVILLE & REDMOND OR	44.7	CP	BNPTTL	-20000829AQZ
36	K36BA	BURNS OR	196.4	LIC	BLTT	-19880222IE
36	KXOR-LP	EUGENE OR	168.6	LIC	BLTTL	-20020806AAT
36	K36FG	HOOD RIVER, ETC. OR	133.5	LIC	BLTT	-20080528AAS
36	K36DP	PENDLETON, ETC. OR	162.4	LIC	BLTT	-19950512IH
36	K66AZ	PRINEVILLE OR	22.2	CP	BDISTTL	-20060329AGX
36	KORS-CA	SALEM OR	163.4	LIC	BLTTA	-20020722ABK
38	K38JK	EUGENE OR	155.5	LIC	BLTTL	-20090602AAU
38	K53EI	HOOD RIVER OR	133.5	CP	BDISST	-20070822ABB
38	K38DT	NORTH LAPINE OR	83.2	LIC	BLTT	-19930401JG
38	K38AH	PENDLETON, ETC. OR	162.4	LIC	BLTT	-19950612II
38	K38DT	SUNRIVER OR	83.2	CP	BPTT	-20050606AHY
38	K38KU	SWEET HOME OR	168.0	CP	BNPTTL	-20000829ANO
41	KBND-LP	BEND OR	57.6	CP	BPTTL	-20060327AFE
41	KBND-LP	BEND OR	57.6	LIC	BLTT	-20041025AEO
41	K41HZ	BURNS OR	196.4	LIC	BLTT	-20060526ALC
41	KORY-CA	EUGENE OR	168.6	LIC	BLTTA	-20020722ABH
41	K41CL	WASCO/HEPPNER OR	141.6	LIC	BLTTL	-19980903JG
42	K42HK-D	COTTAGE GROVE OR	175.0	CP	BDISTT	-20051122AEY
42	KPXG-LP	PORTLAND OR	163.2	CP	BPTTL	-20050901ABW
42	K42BR	TERREBONNE-BEND, ETC OR	18.4	LIC	BLTTL	-19880729IU
42	K42IO	ODELL WA	125.4	CP	BNPTTL	-20000831CLQ

%%%

Study of this proposal found the following interference problem(s):

NONE.

II. NIER Study

OET Bulletin 65 Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields (Edition 97-01) states in part that:

When performing an evaluation for compliance with the FCC's RF guidelines all significant contributors to the ambient RF environment should be considered. . . For purposes of such consideration, significance can be taken to mean any transmitter producing more than 5% of the applicable exposure limit (in terms of power density or the square of the electric or magnetic field strength) at accessible locations.

As will be demonstrated below, the proposed operation will produce less than 5% of the applicable exposure limit for both controlled and uncontrolled environments. Thus, the proposed facility is categorically excluded from the requirement of further study. Therefore, pursuant to §1.1307(b)(3) of the Commission's Rules no calculations are required for the other FM and TV facilities in the vicinity, and precise calculations are made only with regard to the levels from this proposal.

The power density calculations shown below were made using the techniques outlined in OET Bulletin No. 65. "Ground level" calculations in this report have been made at a reference height of 2 meters above ground to provide a worst-case estimate of exposure for persons standing on the ground in the vicinity of the tower. The equation shown below was used to calculate the ground level power density figures from each antenna.

$$S(\text{mW} / \text{cm}^2) = \frac{33.40981 \times \text{AdjERP}(\text{Watts})}{D^2}$$

Where: *AdjERP(Watts)* is the maximum lobe effective radiated power times the element pattern factor times the array pattern factor.

D is the distance in meters from the center of radiation to the calculation point.

Power density levels produced by the proposed facility were calculated for an elevation of 2 meters above ground (17 meters below the antenna radiation center). The worst case power density levels occur at depression angles between 45 and 90 degrees below the horizontal. The calculations in this report assume a worst-case relative field value of 0.125 at these angles, based on the manufacturer's vertical plane pattern for the horizontally-polarized Scala 2X1KBBU broadband

antenna array proposed in this application. This relative field value yields a worst-case adjusted average effective radiated power of 62.5 Watts at depression angles between 45 and 90 degrees below the horizontal. Assuming this power and the shortest distance between the antenna radiation center and 2 meters above ground level (i.e. straight down), the highest calculated power density from the proposed antenna alone occurs at the base of the antenna support structure. At this point the power density is calculated to be $7.2 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$, which is 1.8% of $395 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ (the FCC maximum for uncontrolled environments at the Channel 34 frequency).

These calculations show that the worst-case maximum calculated power density produced at two meters above ground level by the proposed operation alone is less than 5% of the applicable FCC exposure limit at all locations between 1 and 1000 meters from the base of the antenna support structure. Section 1.1307(b)(3) of the Commission's Rules excludes applications for new facilities or modifications to existing facilities from the requirement of preparing an environmental assessment when the calculated emissions from the applicants proposed facility are predicted to be less than 5% of the applicable FCC exposure limit. Therefore, the proposed facility is in compliance with Section 1.1301 et seq and no further analysis of non-ionizing radiation at this site is required in this application.

Pursuant to OET Bulletin No. 65, all station personnel and contractors are required to follow appropriate safety procedures before any work is commenced on the antenna tower, including reduction in power or discontinuance of operation before any maintenance work is undertaken. The permittee/licensee in coordination with other users of the site must reduce power or cease operation as necessary to protect persons having access to the site, tower or antenna from radiofrequency radiation in excess of FCC guidelines.

August 18, 2009

Erik C. Swanson, P.E.