

EXHIBIT 1

Engineering Showing in Support of Maximization of WFXT-DT in Boston, MA

In the FCC Table of Allotments for DTV, WFXT in Boston, MA was assigned channel 31 at an ERP of 67.5 kW. This exhibit demonstrates the proposed maximization of WFXT-DT to 1000.0 kW will conform to FCC Regulations.

Effective Radiated Power

Pursuant to Section 73.622(f)(5) of the FCC Rules, a request to increase the ERP of WFXT-DT is being made. At the proposed HAAT of 341.0 m, the table in Section 73.622(f)(8)(i) provides the option of increasing the ERP of WFXT-DT to 1000.0 kW. This exhibit will show that such an increase is in compliance with the interference standards set forth in Section 73.623(c).

Interference

The remainder of this exhibit will show that the proposed maximization of WFXT-DT is in compliance with the interference standards set forth in Section 73.623(c).

The FCC Processing Guidelines published August 10, 1998 detail "culling distances" to be used in determining which stations may be affected by new interference from a proposed DTV maximization. These culling distances are incorporated into the `retrieve_affected` program that accompanies the FCC propagation code. The following stations were identified by `retrieve_affected` as potential recipients of new interference from the proposed maximization of WFXT-DT:

Call	City	Type	Mech	Dist (km)
WUNI	WORCESTER, MA	NTSC	N+4	41.0
WLWC	NEW BEDFORD, MA	NTSC	N+3	63.2
WVIT	NEW BRITAIN, CT		N+1	149.2
WBZ-DT	BOSTON, MA		N+1	1.7
WTIC-TV	HARTFORD, CT		N	149.0
WPPX-DT	WILMINGTON, DE		N	420.1
WPXN-TV	NEW YORK, NY		N	292.2
WOLF-DT	SCRANTON, PA		N	385.5
WNNE	HARTFORD, VT		N	161.9
DWLQF	GREENFIELD, MA		N-1	111.7
WSBK-TV	BOSTON, MA		N-7	0.0

As specified in the Second Memorandum Opinion and Order on Reconsideration of the Fifth and Sixth Report and Order, released December 18, 1998, all DTV stations in these studies are assumed to be operating at their allotted power or 200 kW, whichever is greater. The amount of new interference caused to each of the stations listed above was determined. The stations that experienced some interference are presented in summary below.

NTSC Channel 27. Worcester, MA

The relevant population and interference figures for this station for all possible scenarios are:

NTSC Baseline population served:	6,770,869
Before Analysis percent baseline population loss:	2.0 %
After Analysis percent total baseline population loss (10 % rule):	2.0 %
After Analysis percent new population loss (2 % rule):	0 %

The final percent loss from the proposed WFXT-DT is 2.0 %, worst case. Therefore, the proposal satisfies the 10 % *de minimis* condition, as specified in the Processing Guidelines. Additionally, the new interference is 0 %, worst case. The proposal satisfies the 2 % *de minimis* condition.

NTSC Channel 28. New Bedford, MA

The relevant population and interference figures for this station for all possible scenarios are:

NTSC Baseline population served:	4,113,685
Before Analysis percent baseline population loss:	2.5 %
After Analysis percent total baseline population loss (10 % rule):	2.5 %
After Analysis percent new population loss (2 % rule):	0 %

The final percent loss from the proposed WFXT-DT is 2.5 %, worst case. Therefore, the proposal satisfies the 10 % *de minimis* condition, as specified in the Processing Guidelines. Additionally, the new interference is 0 %, worst case. The proposal satisfies the 2 % *de minimis* condition.

NTSC Channel 30. New Britain, CT

The relevant population and interference figures for this station for all possible scenarios are:

NTSC Baseline population served:	4,345,134
Before Analysis percent baseline population loss:	13.5 %
After Analysis percent total baseline population loss (10 % rule):	13.5 %
After Analysis percent new population loss (2 % rule):	0 %

The final percent loss from the proposed WFXT-DT is 13.5 %, worst case. Although, the final percent loss is greater than ten percent, the proposed changes do not produce any additional interference. Therefore, the proposal satisfies the 10 % *de minimis* condition, as specified in the Processing Guidelines. Additionally, the new interference is 0 %, worst case. The proposal satisfies the 2 % *de minimis* condition.

NTSC Channel 31. New York, NY

The relevant population and interference figures for this station for all possible scenarios are:

NTSC Baseline population served:	16,860,777
Before Analysis percent baseline population loss:	8.0 %
After Analysis percent total baseline population loss (10 % rule):	8.0 %
After Analysis percent new population loss (2 % rule):	0 %

The final percent loss from the proposed WFXT-DT is 8.0 %, worst case. Therefore, the proposal satisfies the 10 % *de minimis* condition, as specified in the Processing Guidelines. Additionally, the new interference is 0 %, worst case. The proposal satisfies the 2 % *de minimis* condition.

NTSC Channel 31. Hartford, VT

The relevant population and interference figures for this station for all possible scenarios are:

NTSC Baseline population served:	656,529
Before Analysis percent baseline population loss:	1.5 %
After Analysis percent total baseline population loss (10 % rule):	2.5 %
After Analysis percent new population loss (2 % rule):	1.0 %

The final percent loss from the proposed WFXT-DT is 2.5 %, worst case. Therefore, the proposal satisfies the 10 % *de minimis* condition, as specified in the Processing Guidelines. Additionally, the new interference is 1.0 %, worst case. The proposal satisfies the 2 % *de minimis* condition.

Interference into Canada

Using the FCC retrieve_affected program, the following Canadian stations were identified as possible recipients of new interference from the proposed maximization of WFXT-DT:


<u>City</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Mech</u>	<u>Dist (km)</u>
CORNWALL, ON	DTV	N	414.5
ASBESTOS, QU	DTV	N	389.4
AYER'S CLIFF, QU	DTV	N	328.5
LAC-MEGANTIC, QU	DTV	N	360.3

The Canadian Longley-Rice interference analysis was performed using a modified version of the FCC TV Interference and Spacing Analysis Program. The Planning and Separation Criteria as set forth in Appendix 2 of the Letter of Understanding between the Federal Communications Commission of the United States of America and Industry Canada was followed. Each possible Canadian station was analyzed using its allotted power and an omnidirectional antenna. Each Canadian station was also analyzed as though it was operating at full-power (200 kW maximized) with an omnidirectional antenna. The population data used in the analysis is 1996 Canadian Census Population Data. The terrain data used is 1998 Canadian Digital Elevation Data. Where Canadian terrain data is not available, the United States Geological Survey 3 Arc Second Elevation Data was used.

The amount of new interference caused to each of the stations listed above was determined. In this case, no new interference is caused to any of the stations listed. An additional study was performed to specifically test the level of interference caused to the DTV co-channel in Ayer's Cliff, QU, without the consideration of masking interference by other interfering stations in the area. This study was performed by removing all stations from the list of stations to be studied except for the proposed station and the station in Ayer's Cliff. This additional special analysis did not predict any interference caused to channel 31 in Ayer's Cliff by the proposed station.


Conclusion

The above demonstrate that this proposed WFXT-DT application in all ways complies with the FCC rules as they apply to maximization.




Site Coordinates: 42° 18' 12" N 71° 13' 08" W	PROPOSED ANTENNA AND SUPPORTING STRUCTURE	FCC Form 301 Section III-D
	WFXT-DT Fox Television Stations Boston, Massachusetts	Exhibit 2
Not To Scale	 NEWS TECHNOLOGY GROUP	October 12, 1999

Horizontal Plane Pattern




Vertical Plane Pattern



WFXT-DT
Fox Television Stations
Boston, Massachusetts
Exhibit 3
Section III-D Q10
HORIZONTAL POLARIZATION





Boston South, Massachusetts Quadrangle	APPLICATION TO CONSTRUCT DTV FACILITY WFXT-DT Fox Television Stations Boston, Massachusetts	FCC Form 301 Section III-D
	 NEWS TECHNOLOGY GROUP	Exhibit 4
Scale: 1:24,000		October 12, 1999

Appendix A: FLR Results File for Allotted WFXT-DT

Analysis of: 24N CT HARTFORD

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	3009464	14515.5
not affected by terrain losses	2817257	12807.1
lost to NTSC IX	165246	1129.6
lost to additional IX by ATV	474636	2158.6
lost to all IX	639882	3288.2

Analysis of: 32A CT HARTFORD

HAAT 262.0 m, ATV ERP 200.0 kW

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	3009464	14515.5
not affected by terrain losses	2964215	14013.0
lost to NTSC IX	2587	4.0
lost to additional IX by ATV	55465	434.1
lost to ATV IX only	58052	438.2
lost to all IX	58052	438.2

Analysis of: 30N CT NEW BRITAIN

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	4345134	26445.3
not affected by terrain losses	3998250	23013.4
lost to NTSC IX	233115	873.1
lost to additional IX by ATV	629816	5021.1
lost to all IX	862931	5894.2

Analysis of: 30A MA BOSTON

HAAT 354.0 m, ATV ERP 818.0 kW

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	6930618	32736.9
not affected by terrain losses	6834524	31164.4
lost to NTSC IX	125996	2016.1
lost to additional IX by ATV	44879	568.5
lost to ATV IX only	88824	1209.6
lost to all IX	170875	2584.6

Analysis of: 38N MA BOSTON

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	6316042	20941.8
not affected by terrain losses	6208614	20143.3
lost to NTSC IX	168936	512.2
lost to additional IX by ATV	260015	2230.1
lost to all IX	428951	2742.2

Analysis of: 32A MA BOSTON

HAAT 249.0 m, ATV ERP 200.0 kW

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	4875298	12987.6
not affected by terrain losses	4874701	12983.6
lost to NTSC IX	0	0.0
lost to additional IX by ATV	39687	76.6
lost to ATV IX only	39687	76.6
lost to all IX	39687	76.6

Analysis of: 28N MA NEW BEDFORD

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	4113685	15544.1
not affected by terrain losses	4068421	15407.5
lost to NTSC IX	1644727	2375.6
lost to additional IX by ATV	4256	64.3
lost to all IX	1648983	2440.0

Analysis of: 27N MA WORCESTER

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	6770869	22453.1
not affected by terrain losses	6330798	20022.8
lost to NTSC IX	1143733	3321.0
lost to additional IX by ATV	1658	32.2
lost to all IX	1145391	3353.2

Analysis of: 35N ME LEWISTON

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	483179	9529.5
not affected by terrain losses	473088	8947.0
lost to NTSC IX	0	0.0
lost to additional IX by ATV	11672	490.1
lost to all IX	11672	490.1

Analysis of: 23N ME WATERVILLE

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	144715	4171.1
not affected by terrain losses	130260	3869.7
lost to NTSC IX	0	0.0
lost to additional IX by ATV	2214	104.5
lost to all IX	2214	104.5

Analysis of: 23N NY ALBANY

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	1343837	20250.3
not affected by terrain losses	1165958	16445.6
lost to NTSC IX	3652	108.2
lost to additional IX by ATV	15287	292.7
lost to all IX	18939	400.9

Analysis of: 34N NY LAKE PLACID

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	28646	3517.8
not affected by terrain losses	22639	2130.0
lost to NTSC IX	67	24.2
lost to additional IX by ATV	0	12.1
lost to all IX	67	36.3

Analysis of: 31N NY NEW YORK

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	16860777	20400.1
not affected by terrain losses	16548453	18374.6
lost to NTSC IX	76916	226.0
lost to additional IX by ATV	440944	2009.3
lost to all IX	517860	2235.3

Analysis of: 17N NY SCHENECTADY

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	1331542	20740.0
not affected by terrain losses	1179257	17334.8
lost to NTSC IX	24409	304.5

lost to additional IX by ATV	20350	709.1
lost to all IX	44759	1013.6

Analysis of: 33N VT BURLINGTON

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	527294	30715.1
not affected by terrain losses	428537	23484.2
lost to NTSC IX	0	20.1
lost to additional IX by ATV	3228	345.5
lost to all IX	3228	365.6

Analysis of: 31N VT HARTFORD

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	656529	23629.0
not affected by terrain losses	352669	15918.2
lost to NTSC IX	2003	148.1
lost to additional IX by ATV	15221	604.5
lost to all IX	17224	752.7

Analysis of: 28N VT RUTLAND

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	359274	15380.6
not affected by terrain losses	243268	10053.5
lost to NTSC IX	0	0.0
lost to additional IX by ATV	0	0.0
lost to all IX	0	0.0

Finished Tue Oct 12 14:33:03; run time 0:07:17
 277549 calls to Longley-Rice; path distance increment 1.00 km

Appendix B: FLR Results File for Proposed WFXT-DT

Analysis of: 24N CT HARTFORD

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	3009464	14515.5
not affected by terrain losses	2817257	12807.1
lost to NTSC IX	165246	1129.6
lost to additional IX by ATV	474636	2158.6
lost to all IX	639882	3288.2

Analysis of: 32A CT HARTFORD

HAAT 262.0 m, ATV ERP 200.0 kW

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	3009464	14515.5
not affected by terrain losses	2964215	14013.0
lost to NTSC IX	2587	4.0
lost to additional IX by ATV	55465	434.1
lost to ATV IX only	58052	438.2
lost to all IX	58052	438.2

Analysis of: 30N CT NEW BRITAIN

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	4345134	26445.3
not affected by terrain losses	3998250	23013.4
lost to NTSC IX	233115	873.1
lost to additional IX by ATV	629816	5021.1
lost to all IX	862931	5894.2

Analysis of: 30A MA BOSTON

HAAT 354.0 m, ATV ERP 818.0 kW

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	6930618	32736.9
not affected by terrain losses	6834524	31164.4
lost to NTSC IX	125996	2016.1
lost to additional IX by ATV	46008	580.6
lost to ATV IX only	89953	1221.7
lost to all IX	172004	2596.7

Analysis of: 38N MA BOSTON

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	6316042	20941.8
not affected by terrain losses	6208614	20143.3
lost to NTSC IX	168936	512.2
lost to additional IX by ATV	260015	2230.1
lost to all IX	428951	2742.2

Analysis of: 32A MA BOSTON

HAAT 249.0 m, ATV ERP 200.0 kW

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	4875298	12987.6
not affected by terrain losses	4874701	12983.6
lost to NTSC IX	0	0.0
lost to additional IX by ATV	200286	511.8
lost to ATV IX only	200286	511.8
lost to all IX	200286	511.8

Analysis of: 28N MA NEW BEDFORD

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	4113685	15544.1
not affected by terrain losses	4068421	15407.5
lost to NTSC IX	1644727	2375.6
lost to additional IX by ATV	4256	64.3
lost to all IX	1648983	2440.0
Analysis of: 27N MA WORCESTER		
	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	6770869	22453.1
not affected by terrain losses	6330798	20022.8
lost to NTSC IX	1143733	3321.0
lost to additional IX by ATV	4383	36.3
lost to all IX	1148116	3357.3
Analysis of: 35N ME LEWISTON		
	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	483179	9529.5
not affected by terrain losses	473088	8947.0
lost to NTSC IX	0	0.0
lost to additional IX by ATV	11672	490.1
lost to all IX	11672	490.1
Analysis of: 23N ME WATERVILLE		
	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	144715	4171.1
not affected by terrain losses	130260	3869.7
lost to NTSC IX	0	0.0
lost to additional IX by ATV	2214	104.5
lost to all IX	2214	104.5
Analysis of: 23N NY ALBANY		
	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	1343837	20250.3
not affected by terrain losses	1165958	16445.6
lost to NTSC IX	3652	108.2
lost to additional IX by ATV	15287	292.7
lost to all IX	18939	400.9
Analysis of: 34N NY LAKE PLACID		
	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	28646	3517.8
not affected by terrain losses	22639	2130.0
lost to NTSC IX	67	24.2
lost to additional IX by ATV	0	12.1
lost to all IX	67	36.3
Analysis of: 31N NY NEW YORK		
	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	16860777	20400.1
not affected by terrain losses	16548453	18374.6
lost to NTSC IX	76916	226.0
lost to additional IX by ATV	456206	2025.5
lost to all IX	533122	2251.4
Analysis of: 17N NY SCHENECTADY		
	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	1331542	20740.0
not affected by terrain losses	1179257	17334.8
lost to NTSC IX	24409	304.5

lost to additional IX by ATV	20350	709.1
lost to all IX	44759	1013.6

Analysis of: 33N VT BURLINGTON

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	527294	30715.1
not affected by terrain losses	428537	23484.2
lost to NTSC IX	0	20.1
lost to additional IX by ATV	3228	345.5
lost to all IX	3228	365.6

Analysis of: 31N VT HARTFORD

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	656529	23629.0
not affected by terrain losses	352669	15918.2
lost to NTSC IX	2003	148.1
lost to additional IX by ATV	17652	836.7
lost to all IX	19655	984.9

Analysis of: 28N VT RUTLAND

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	359274	15380.6
not affected by terrain losses	243268	10053.5
lost to NTSC IX	0	0.0
lost to additional IX by ATV	0	0.0
lost to all IX	0	0.0

Finished Tue Oct 12 14:32:56; run time 0:07:19

277549 calls to Longley-Rice; path distance increment 1.00 km

Appendix C: FLR Results File for Allotted WFXT-DT (Canadian Study)

Analysis of: 31A QU AYER'S CLIFF

HAAT 100.0 m, ATV ERP 0.0 kW

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	164330	2060.0
not affected by terrain losses	159397	1831.1
lost to NTSC IX	10092	208.8
lost to additional IX by ATV	0	4.0
lost to ATV IX only	0	40.2
lost to all IX	10092	212.8

Finished Tue Oct 12 14:25:20; run time 0:00:09

3071 calls to Longley-Rice; path distance increment .1.00 km

Appendix D: FLR Results File for Proposed WFXT-DT (Canadian Study)

Analysis of: 31A QU AYER'S CLIFF

HAAT 100.0 m, ATV ERP 0.0 kW

	POPULATION	AREA (sq km)
within Noise Limited Contour	164330	2060.0
not affected by terrain losses	159397	1831.1
lost to NTSC IX	10092	208.8
lost to additional IX by ATV	0	4.0
lost to ATV IX only	0	40.2
lost to all IX	10092	212.8

Finished Tue Oct 12 14:25:24; run time 0:00:09

3071 calls to Longley-Rice; path distance increment .1.00 km

Date: 05-16-2003 Time: 18:01:57

Record Selected for Analysis

WFXT BPCDT -19990526KH BOSTON MA US
 Channel 31 ERP 1000.0 kW HAAT 341.0 m RCAMSL 384 m
 Latitude 42-18-12 Longitude 71-13-08
 Status APP Zone 1 Border C
 Dir Antenna Make CDB Model 00000000030342 Beam tilt Y Ref Azimuth 0.0
 Last update 00000000 Cutoff date 01011800 Docket
 Comments
 Applicant FOX TELEVISION STATIONS INC.

Cell Size for Service Analysis 2.0 km/side
 Distance Increments for Longley-Rice Analysis 1.00 km

Facility meets maximum height/power limits

Azimuth (Deg)	ERP (kW)	HAAT (m)	39.0 dBu F(50,90) (km)
0.0	467.856	334.2	97.8
45.0	809.100	362.9	105.2
90.0	664.225	352.2	102.4
135.0	780.572	343.3	103.1
180.0	958.441	332.2	103.9
225.0	789.432	332.1	102.1
270.0	240.100	335.8	92.2
315.0	194.922	334.9	90.4

 Start of Interference Analysis

Channel	Proposed Station Call	City/State	ARN
31	WFXT	BOSTON MA	BPCDT 19990526KH

Stations Potentially Affected by Proposed Station

Chan	Call	City/State	Dist(km)	Status	Application	Ref. No.
31	C076-DT	AYER'S CLIFF QU	328.5	PLN	DTVPLN	-DTVP1077
31	C076-DT	AYER'S CLIFF QU	328.5	MAX	DTVPLN	-DTVP1077

%%%

Analysis of Interference to Affected Station 1

Analysis of current record

Channel	Call	City/State	Application	Ref. No.
31	C076-DT	AYER'S CLIFF QU	DTVPLN	-DTVP1077

Stations Potentially Affecting This Station

results.txt.final Wed May 28 11:52:04 2003 2

Chan Call City/State Dist(km) Status Application Ref. No.
31 WFXT BOSTON MA 328.5 APP BPCDT -19990526KH
Proposal causes no interference

#####

Analysis of Interference to Affected Station 2

Analysis of current record

Channel Call City/State Application Ref. No.
31 C076-DT AYER'S CLIFF QU DTVPLN -DTVP1077

Stations Potentially Affecting This Station

Chan Call City/State Dist(km) Status Application Ref. No.
31 WFXT BOSTON MA 328.5 APP BPCDT -19990526KH
Proposal causes no interference

#####

FINISHED FINISHED FINISHED FINISHED FINISHED FINISHED

ENGINEERING STATEMENT

The engineering data contained herein have been prepared on behalf of FOX TELEVISION STATIONS, INC., licensee of WFXT-DT, Channel 31, Boston, Massachusetts, in support of its response to the Commission's letter of April 30, 2003, regarding its pending power-increase application (BPCDT-19990526KH).

The subject letter raised the possibility that the proposed WFXT-DT facility might cause interference to a Channel 31 DTV allotment in Ayer's Cliff, Quebec, and requested a Longley-Rice study to demonstrate that such interference would not exceed 2 percent of the population to be served by the Ayer's Cliff facility.

Attached hereto is a printout of such a study based on Probe II software from V-Soft Communications. As indicated, the population that would be subject to interference from any WFXT-DT facility is zero.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.



NEIL M. SMITH

May 21, 2003

Smith and Fisher Population Report

- CBMT7-DT (31) Ayer's Cliff, QU
ASSUMING ERP = 400 WATTS
ASSUMING HAAT = 100 METERS

TV Incoming Interference Study

Signal Resolution: 2 km
Consider NTSC Taboo: Yes
KWX error points are considered to
be interference free coverage.
of radials computed for contours: 72
Contours calculated using 8 radial HAAT.
LR Profile Spacing Increment: 1.0 km
Interference considered within the
reference station's noise limited contour.
Threshold for reception: 40.416

Study Date: 5/20/2003
TV Database Date: 05-09-03

Population Databases Used:
1990 US Census
1996 Canada Census

Percentages calculated using a baseline population of 176,226.

Stations considered which do not cause interference:

WFXT-DT (LICENCED)
WFXT-DT (ALLOTMENT)
WFXT-DT (APPLICATION BPCDT-19990526KH)

Totals for CBMT7 (31)

Calculation Area Population:	210,369	(3413.7 sq. km)
Not Affected by Terrain Loss:	176,226	(2810.4 sq. km)
Total NTSC Interference:	0	(0.0 sq. km)
DTV Only Interference:	0	(0.0 sq. km)
Total DTV Interference:	0	(0.0 sq. km)
Interfered Population:	0	(0.0 sq. km)
Interference Free:	176,226	(2810.4 sq. km)
Percent Interference:	0.00		
Terrain Blocked Population:	34,143	(603.3 sq. km)
Contour Area Population:	210,048		