ENGINEERING EXHIBIT

Application for an LPTV Station Construction Permit

prepared for

5GTV, LLCWYMP-LD Bruce, Mississippi
Facility ID 7359
Ch. 14 1.5 kW 152.4 m AMSL

Table of Contents

Statement A Comprehensive Engineering Statement Figure 1 Coverage Contour Comparison

Statement A

COMPREHENSIVE ENGINEERING STATEMENT

prepared for

5GTV, LLC

WYMP-LD Bruce, Mississippi

Facility ID 7359

Ch. 14 1.5 kW 152.4 m AMSL

5GTV, LLC ("5GTV") is the licensee of digital LPTV station WYMP-LD, Channel 14,

Bruce, Mississippi, Facility ID 7359 (LMS File No. 0000129753). The instant application is being

submitted as an amendment to the pending application (File No. 0000140967) to specify a different

transmitter site and antenna as a minor modification. Specifically, 5GTV proposes to operate from

FCC registered structure (ASRN 1038234) with coordinates of 34° 59' 20.0" N Latitude and 89° 41'

13.0" W Longitude (NAD 83) at 1.5 kW Effective Radiated Power, using a Kathrein directional

antenna with a radiation center of 152.4 meters AMSL.

Nature of the Proposal

The proposed antenna system for the WYMP-LD operation is a directional antenna which

will be side-mounted on an existing tower structure with the Antenna Structure Registration Number

1038234. No change in the structure's overall height is necessary to carry out this proposal. Since

no change to the structure's overall height is proposed, no change to structure marking/lighting

requirements set forth in the aeronautical study will result.

The proposed digital facility will operate on Channel 14 using a "Full Service" out of channel

emission mask, a maximum effective radiated power of 1.5 kW, and an antenna height of

152.4 meters AMSL. Figure 1 depicts the 51 dBμ F(50,90) coverage contour of the authorized and

proposed facility, as well as the 48 km (30 mile) move limit for minor modifications from the

licensed coordinates. As demonstrated on the provided map, the service area overlap shown

demonstrates compliance with §74.787 of the Rules for minor modifications.

Allocation Considerations

The instant proposal complies with the Commission's interference protection requirements

toward all DTV, television translator, LPTV, and Class A stations. A detailed interference study was

conducted using the FCC's TV Study program version 2.2.5¹. The interference study results are

¹ The TV Study program was configured to perform its calculations using a cell size of 1.0 km and a terrain profile

increment of 0.1 km. It is believed that this setting better reflects terrain variations than the default setting.

Cavell, Mertz & Associates, Inc.

(Page 2 of 5)

provided as an attachment to this Engineering Statement and show that any new interference does not exceed the Commission's interference limits² (0.5 percent to full service and Class A stations or 2.0 percent to LPTV stations). Accordingly, the instant proposal complies with FCC Rules regarding interference protection to DTV, television translator, LPTV and Class A television facilities.

International Coordination

The proposed transmitter site is located 965.4 km from the U.S.-Canadian border and 1,217.4 km from the U.S.-Mexican border, which is greater than the required coordination distance specified for digital low power television stations. Thus, it is believed that international coordination will not be necessary for the instant proposal.

Other Allocation Considerations

The nearest FCC monitoring station is at Powder Springs, GA, at a distance of 471.9 km from the proposed site. This exceeds by a great margin the threshold minimum distance specified in §73.1030(c)(3) that would suggest consideration of the monitoring station. The proposed site is also located outside the areas specified in §73.1030(a)(1) and §73.1030(b). Thus, notification of the instant proposal to the National Radio Astronomy Observatory at Green Bank, West Virginia, or the Table Mountain Radio Receiving Zone in Boulder County, Colorado is not required. There are no directional AM broadcast stations located within 3.2 km (2 miles) of the proposed site, according to information extracted from the Commission's engineering database.

Environmental Considerations

The instant proposal is not believed to have a significant environmental impact as defined under §1.1306 of the Commission's Rules. Consequently, preparation of an Environmental Assessment is not required. *5GTV* herein proposes to construct the facility on an existing tower structure with Antenna Structure Registration Number 1038234. The use of an existing tower structure has been characterized as being environmentally preferable by the Commission, according

² See the attached TV Study results. It is noted that the instant proposal will receive hypothetical interference from a prior-filed pending application for new DRT filed to be used in conjunction with WMC-TV (File No. 0000116802). 5GTV is willing to accept the predicted interference to this proposal.

(Page 3 of 5)

to <u>Note 1</u> of §1.1306 of the FCC Rules. No change in structure height is proposed, thus no change in current structure marking and lighting requirements is anticipated. Therefore, it is believed that this application may be categorically excluded from environmental processing pursuant to §1.1306 of the Commission's rules.

Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Field

The proposed operation was evaluated for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic field using the procedures outlined in the Commission's <u>OET Bulletin 65</u> ("OET 65"). OET 65 describes a means of determining whether a proposed facility exceeds the radiofrequency exposure guidelines adopted in §1.1310. Under present Commission policy, a facility may be presumed to comply with the limits specified in §1.1310 if it satisfies the exposure criteria set forth in OET 65. Based upon that methodology, and as demonstrated in the following, the proposed transmitting system will comply with the cited adopted guidelines.

The WYMP-LD Channel 14 antenna center of radiation will be 45.7 meters above ground level. An effective radiated power of 1.5 kilowatts, horizontally polarized, will be employed utilizing a Kathrein model K723147 1x2 directional antenna. Based on the manufacturer's data, a "worst-case" relative field value of 25 percent is assumed for purposes of the calculation. For simplicity, circular polarization is assumed as a worst case. The "uncontrolled/general population" limit specified in $\S1.1310$ for Channel 14 (center frequency 473 MHz) is $\S1.5.3 \, \mu\text{W/cm}^2$.

OET 65's formula for television transmitting antennas is based on the NTSC transmission standards, where the average power is normally much less than the peak power. For the DTV facility in the instant proposal, the peak-to-average ratio is different than the NTSC ratio. The DTV ERP figure herein refers to the average power level. The formula used for calculating DTV signal density in this analysis is essentially the same as equation (10) in OET 65.

$$S = (33.4098) (F^2) (ERP) / D^2$$

Cavell, Mertz & Associates, Inc.

(Page 4 of 5)

Where:

= power density in microwatts/cm²

ERP = total (average) ERP in Watts

relative field factor distance in meters

Using this formula and the above assumptions, the proposed facility would contribute a power density of 1.6 μW/cm² at two meters above ground level near the antenna support structure, or 0.51 percent of the general population/uncontrolled limit. Accordingly, it is believed that the impact of the proposed operation should not be considered to be a factor at or near ground level as defined

under §1.1307(b).

§1.1307(b)(3) states that facilities at locations with multiple transmitters are categorically excluded from responsibility for taking any corrective action in the areas where their contribution is less than five percent. Since the instant situation meets the five percent exclusion test at all ground level areas, the impact of any other facilities using this site may be considered independently from this proposal. Accordingly, it is believed that the impact of the proposed operation should not be

considered to be a factor at or near ground level as defined under §1.1307(b).

As demonstrated herein, excessive levels of RF energy attributable to the proposal will not be caused at publicly accessible areas at ground level near the antenna supporting structure. Consequently, members of the general public will not be exposed to RF levels in excess of the Commission's guidelines. Nevertheless, tower site access will be restricted and controlled through

the use of a locked fence. Additionally, appropriate RF exposure warning signs will be posted.

Safety of Tower Workers and the General Public

As demonstrated herein, excessive levels of RF energy attributable to the proposal will not be caused at publicly accessible areas at ground level or near the base of the antenna supporting structure. Consequently, members of the general public will not be exposed to RF levels in excess of the Commission's guidelines.

Cavell, Mertz & Associates, Inc.

(Page 5 of 5)

With respect to worker safety, it is believed that based on the preceding analysis, excessive exposure would not occur in areas at ground level. A site exposure policy will be employed protecting maintenance workers from excessive exposure when work must be performed on the tower or in areas where high RF levels may be present. Such protective measures may include, but will not be limited to, restriction of access to areas where levels in excess of the guidelines may be expected, power reduction, or the complete shutdown of facilities when work or inspections must be performed in areas where the exposure guidelines would otherwise be exceeded. On-site RF exposure measurements may also be undertaken to establish the bounds of safe working areas. The applicant will coordinate exposure procedures with all pertinent stations.

Conclusion

Based on the preceding, it is believed that the instant proposal complies with all Commission Rules and policies.

