## TECHNICAL STATEMENT

## WPTA 575 KW-ND 224.4 M HAAT CH. 24 <br> FORT WAYNE, INDIANA

## INTRODUCTION

WPTA License, LLC (the "Applicant"), the licensee of digital television station WPTA, Facility ID No. 73905, proposes a minor modification during the temporary lifting of the freeze by the Media Bureau to increase WPTA's noise-limited contour beyond the station's authorized facilities. ${ }^{1}$ More specifically, the Applicant seeks to expand the contour through an increase in effective radiated power (ERP) to 575 kW . No other change to WPTA's existing facility is proposed.

## INTERFERENCE PROTECTION AND OET-69 ANALYSIS SETTINGS

A copy of the TVStudy analysis is provided in Figure 1. This summary indicates no interference check failures were found and therefore the proposal is not predicted to cause new interference beyond the normal tolerance to any other post-auction full-service or Class A TV stations. ${ }^{2}$ The summary further reflects that the following analysis settings were used:

| Study cell size: | 2.0 kilometer |
| :--- | :--- |
| Profile point spacing: | 1.0 kilometer |

[^0]
## ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

The construction permit application specifies an existing FCC registered tower that was constructed before March $16,2001 .^{3}$ Given that the station will continue to utilize its existing antenna, the criteria outlined in 47 CFR § 1.1307(a) for certain types of facilities that may significantly affect the environment do not apply. With regard to the rules for limiting human exposure to radio-frequency (RF) energy in 47 CFR § 1.1307(b), this application seeks authority to operate a television broadcast antenna in full compliance with those guidelines as described in more detail below. The following technical specifications are proposed:

| Frequency: | $530-539 \mathrm{MHz}$ (UHF Channel 24) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Effective Radiated Power: | 575 kW |
| Antenna Type: | DIE TUA-O4-10/40H-1-T-R |
| Antenna Polarization: | Horizontal |
| Antenna Height: | 227.1 meters above ground level (AGL) |
| Location coordinates: | $41-06-08.0 \mathrm{~N}, 85-11-05.0$ W (NAD83) |
| Site elevation: | 242.9 meters above mean sea level (AMSL) |
| Overall tower height: | 235.0 meters AGL |
| FCC ASRN: | $1029441 ;$ Constructed in 1957 |

Using the methodology for predicting power density levels for television broadcast antennas outlined in FCC OET Bulletin No. 65, Edition 97-01, (OET-65), the proposed increase in WPTA's facilities is calculated to produce a maximum power density of 3.79 $\mu \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ at points 2 meters above ground (approximate human head height). This exposure level was determined using 10 percent antenna relative field, which is generally considered to be a typical value for UHF antennas. The maximum exposure limits applicable to Channel 24, as determined in accordance with 47 CFR § 1.1310 for uncontrolled and controlled situations,

[^1]are $353 \mu \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ and $1,767 \mu \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ respectively. Because the worst-case exposure level determined for WPTA is not more than $5 \%$ of those guidelines and considering warning signs are posted to establish awareness of the potential for exposure, no further showing of compliance is necessary. Accordingly, this application complies with the RF exposure limits and is categorically excluded from environmental processing by 47 CFR $\S 1.1306$.

Steps to limit exposure to persons authorized to access the transmitter site will be consistent with the appropriate recommendations in OET-65. All maintenance and other related work to be performed at elevations higher than 2 meters above ground will be coordinated to prevent exposure to RF fields in excess of the controlled limit. Such preventative steps shall include reducing power or shutting down the facility.
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Figure 1 - TVStudy Results

Database HAAT does not agree with computed HAA
Database HAAT： $224 \mathrm{~m} \quad$ Computed HAAT： 222 m
＊＊Proposal service area extends beyond baseline plus $1.0 \%$
Proposal service area population is more than $95.0 \%$ of baseline
＊＊Proposal is within coordination distance of Canadian border
Distance to Canadian border： 195.4 km
Distance to Mexican border： 1928.8 km
Conditions at FCC monitoring station：Allegan MI
Bearing： 339.4 degrees Distance：178．9 km
Proposal is not within the West Virginia quiet zone area
Conditions at Table Mountain receiving zone：
Bearing：273．0 degrees Distance： 1690.1 km
Study cell size： 2.00 km
Profile point spacing： 1.00 km
Maximum new IX to fulI－service and Class A： $0.50 \%$
Maximum new IX to LPTV： $2.00 \%$
No IX check failures found．
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Search options


そ之

No non－directional AM stations found within 0.8 km
No directional AM stations found within 3.2 km
Record parameters as studied:


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Media Bureau Temporarily Lifts the Freeze on the Filing of Minor Modifications Applications That Expand the Contour of Full Power and Class A Television Stations From November 28 Through December 7, 2017, Public Notice, DA 17-1086 (rel. Nov. 6, 2017).
    ${ }^{2}$ TVStudy Program, Version 2.2.3.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3} 47$ CFR Part 1, App. B, § III.A. "An antenna may be mounted on an existing tower constructed on or before March 16, 2001 without such collocation being reviewed through the Section 106 process set forth in the NPA, unless: 1. The mounting of the antenna will result in a substantial increase in the size of the tower as defined in Stipulation I.E, above; or, 2. The tower has been determined by the FCC to have an adverse effect on one or more historic properties, where such effect has not been avoided or mitigated through a conditional no adverse effect determination, a Memorandum of Agreement, a programmatic agreement, or a finding of compliance with Section 106 and the NPA; or, 3. The tower is the subject of a pending environmental review or related proceeding before the FCC involving compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act; or, 4. The collocation licensee or the owner of the tower has received written or electronic notification that the FCC is in receipt of a complaint from a member of the public, an Indian Tribe, a SHPO or the Council, that the collocation has an adverse effect on one or more historic properties."

