



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

September 14, 2021

*In reply refer to:*  
1800B3-CRC

W. Lawrence Patrick, Receiver  
c/o Dawn M. Sciarrino, Esq.  
Sciarrino & Shubert, PLLC  
330 Franklin Road  
Suite 135A-133  
Franklin, TN 37027

VCY America, Inc.  
c/o Kathryne Dickerson, Esq.  
Wiley Rein LLP  
1776 K Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20006

Silver State Broadcasting, Inc., and  
Royce International Broadcasting Corporation  
c/o Barry D. Wood, Esq.  
Wood & Maines, PC  
3300 Fairfax Drive  
Suite 202  
Arlington, VA 22201

In re: KRFH(FM), North Las Vegas, NV  
Facility No. 19062  
Application File No. 0000130216

KREV(FM), Alameda, CA  
Facility No. 36029  
Application File No. 0000130217

KRCK-FM, Mecca, CA  
Facility No. 52908  
Application File No. 0000130218

Application for Assignment of Licenses

Motion for Extension of Time

Dear Counsel:

This letter concerns the motion for extension of time (Motion) filed on September 3, 2021, by Royce International Broadcasting Corporation and Silver State Broadcasting, Inc., (together, Royce) and the partial opposition to the Motion (Partial Opposition) filed on September 6, 2021, by W. Lawrence

Patrick, Receiver, and VCY America, Inc., (Applicants).<sup>1</sup> In the Motion, Royce requests that we extend the deadline for filing a response (Response Deadline) to the status report that the Receiver filed on August 27, 2021, at the request of the Media Bureau (Bureau).<sup>2</sup>

Royce contends that an extension of the Response Deadline from September 3, 2021,<sup>3</sup> to September 23, 2021, is warranted in order to provide time for Royce's new FCC counsel to familiarize themselves adequately with the facts and issues in this proceeding, which counsel describes as "highly unconventional."<sup>4</sup> In the Partial Opposition, the Applicants state that they believe a seven-day extension is appropriate here and that they oppose any extension beyond September 10, 2021.<sup>5</sup> To provide additional time for Royce's new counsel to familiarize themselves with the facts and issues that are relevant to this proceeding and allow for a complete record, we grant Royce's request to extend the Response Deadline from September 3, 2021, to September 23, 2021.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that the motion for extension of time filed by Royce International Broadcasting Corporation and Silver State Broadcasting, Inc., on September 3, 2021, Pleading File No. 0000158593, IS GRANTED, and the deadline for Royce International Broadcasting and Silver State Broadcasting to file a response to the Status Report of W. Lawrence Patrick, Receiver, Pleading File No. 0000158080, is extended to September 23, 2021.

Sincerely,

Albert Shuldiner  
Chief, Audio Division  
Media Bureau

---

<sup>1</sup> Motion of Royce for Extension of Time, Pleading File No. 0000158593 (filed Sept. 3, 2021) (Motion); Partial Opposition of Receiver to Motion, Pleading File No. 0000158661 (filed Sept. 6, 2021) (Partial Opposition). Royce states that it notified the Applicants' counsel of the extension request prior to filing the request. Motion at 2.

<sup>2</sup> Motion at 1; Status Report of Receiver, Pleading File No. 0000158080 (filed Aug. 27, 2021) (Status Report); E-mail from Albert Shuldiner, Chief, Audio Division, FCC Media Bureau, to Ari Meltzer, Counsel to VCY America, Inc., et al. (Aug. 25, 2021, 14:20 EDT) (Shuldiner E-mail).

<sup>3</sup> The Bureau informed Royce that its response would be due a week after the Receiver filed the Status Report. Shuldiner E-mail. Because the Receiver filed the Status Report on August 27, 2021, Royce's response was due on September 2, 2021.

<sup>4</sup> Motion at 1. Royce explains that on August 29, 2021, its previous FCC counsel notified the Bureau that he is no longer representing Royce in this matter and that Royce retained its current FCC counsel on September 3, 2021. *Id.*; Letter from Dan Alpert, Counsel to Royce, to Marlene Dortch, Secretary, FCC, Pleading File No. 0000158110 (filed Aug. 29, 2021). Royce asserts that in light of the circumstances present here, and what Royce states is its fundamental right to the effective assistance of counsel, there is good cause for an extension of the Response Deadline. Motion at 2.

<sup>5</sup> Partial Opposition at 1-2, 4.