

LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION
(September 2019 Amendment)

Purpose of Amendment

This FCC Form 2100 – Schedule 303-S is being amended to provide the additional disclosure below in response to the Adverse Findings Section of the application.

Additional Disclosure

In the FCC Form 2100 – Schedule 396 for its stations in the Norfolk market, Entercom License, LLC (“Licensee”) disclosed that on November 30, 2018, a former employee, Kristina Price, filed a charge with the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (“EEOC”) alleging disability discrimination (EEOC Charge No. 437-2019-00222). *See* FCC File No. 0000073703, as modified by FCC File No. 0000081093. On August 26, 2019, which was after Licensee filed this license renewal application, a reasonable cause determination was issued against certain of Licensee’s affiliates, including Licensee’s corporate parent, with respect to that charge. While disability discrimination is not one of the enumerated classes of discrimination set forth in Section 73.2080 of the Commission’s Rules, Licensee disclosed the pending charge and subsequent determination in the applicable FCC Form 2100 – Schedule 396 out of abundance of caution. In that same abundance of caution, Licensee is amending its response to the Adverse Findings Section of this FCC Form 2100 – Schedule 303-S to disclose this determination.

Commission precedent establishes that an adverse finding exists only if there has been an adjudication by an ultimate trier of fact. *Policy Regarding Character Qualifications in Broadcast Licensing*, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 7 FCC Rcd 6564, 6566 para 10 (1992). “An ‘ultimate trier of fact’ is a court or administrative body whose factual findings are not subject to de novo review.” *Id.* (internal citations omitted).

An EEOC reasonable cause determination is not a “finding” of discrimination or adjudication by an ultimate trier of fact. It does not require “weighing of information from both complainant and respondent” and “is a gateway not to an evidentiary hearing but to *informal methods* of conference, conciliation, and persuasion.” *Nat’l Broad. Co., Inc.*, 58 F.C.C.2d 419, 421 ¶¶ 4, 5 (1976) (emphasis added). EEOC proceedings are also “not of an adversary nature.” *See Hubbard Broadcasting, Inc.*, 48 F.C.C. 2d 717, 524 n.6 (1974). A reasonable cause determination is essentially an initial determination based on limited facts made available to the EEOC, without the respondent having the ability to present a full defense, that there is reasonable cause to move forward with the informal conciliation process. Furthermore, EEOC decisions are subject to de novo review.

In any case, Licensee submits that, based on Commission precedent, a reasonable cause determination should not be an impediment to the grant of this application. *See, e.g., Pac. & S. Co., Inc.*, 11 F.C.C. Rcd. 8503, 8507 (1996) (denying an application for review and affirming grant of renewal application where a reasonable cause determination had been issued); *Focus Cable of Oakland, Inc.*, 65 F.C.C.2d 35 (1977); *Nat’l Broad. Co., Inc.*, 62 F.C.C.2d 582, 583 (1977); *Nat’l Broad. Co., Inc.*, 58 F.C.C.2d 419 (1976). Licensee and its affiliates presently

intend to continue their defense of this matter. Withholding action on this application prior to Licensee having had its chance to present a more comprehensive defense to the EEOC or a court would be contrary to Commission precedent.