HATFIELD & DAWSON

THOMAS M. ECKELS, PE STEPHEN S. LOCKWOOD, PE DAVID J. PINION, PE ERIK C. SWANSON, PE

THOMAS S. GORTON, PE

JAMES B. HATFIELD, PE BENJAMIN F. DAWSON III, PE CONSULTANTS

CONSULTING ELECTRICAL ENGINEERS 9500 GREENWOOD AVE. N. SEATTLE. WASHINGTON 98103

TELEPHONE (206) 783-9151 FACSIMILE (206) 789-9834 E-MAIL hatdaw@hatdaw.com

> Maury L. Hatfield, PE (1942-2009) Paul W. Leonard, PE (1925-2011)

Engineering Statement Minor Modification of K18NG-D Channel 18 at McDermitt, NV September 2019

This Engineering Statement has been prepared on behalf of Quinn River TV Maintenance District ("QRTV"), licensee of digital TV translator station K18NG-D at McDermitt, NV. This material has been prepared in connection with an application for minor modification.

I. Background

QRTV proposes to relocate the translator transmitter site. This application qualifies as "minor" since there is overlap of the licensed and proposed F(50,90) coverage contours as depicted on the attached map exhibit.

II. Interference Study

Study has been made of all cochannel and adjacent-channel facilities in the vicinity of the proposed operation, including a detailed Longley-Rice interference study to demonstrate that the proposed operation will not cause interference to any authorized or pending proposed facilities. This study was performed using the Commission's TVStudy software.

The results of this study indicate that the proposed facility is predicted to cause zero additional interference to any of the listed stations. Based on the foregoing interference study, it is believed that the proposed facility can operate without risk of interference to other stations.

Study created: 2019.09.10 11:42:55Study created: 2019.09.10 11:43:07 Study build station data: LMS TV 2019-09-09 Proposal: K18NG-D D18 LD APP MCDERMITT, NV File number: EAGLE18 Facility ID: 54298 Station data: User record Record ID: 912

Build options:

Country: U.S.

Protect pre-transition records not on baseline channel

Stations potentially affected by proposal:

IX	Call	Chan	Svc	Status	City, State	File Number	Distance
No	K17HB-D	D17	LD	LIC	City, State WINNEMUCCA, NV		69.4 km
No	K18ND-D	D18	LD	LIC	CHICO AND PARADISE, CA	BLANK0000068720	382.6
No	KUVS-DT	D18	DT	LIC	MODESTO, CA	BLCDT20020906ABH	466.1
No	NEW	D18	LD	APP	REDDING, CA BOISE, ID	BNPDTL20090825BPG	414.5
No	KCLP-CD	D18	DC	LIC	BOISE, ID	BLANK0000007378	271.4
No	K49IT-D	D18	LD	CP	HAGERMAN, ID	BLANK0000053644	269.2
No	KVUT-LD	D18	LD	CP	TWIN FALLS, ID	BLANK0000054653	299.4
No	K18GW	N18	TX	LIC	BEOWAWE, NV	BLTTL20051006ADO	142.9
No	K18JG-D	D18	LD	LIC	BEOWOWE, NV	BLDTT20111230AAO	142.9
No	K18KX-D	D18	LD	CP	FERNLEY, NV LOVELOCK, NV	BNPDTL20100512AGZ	257.8
No	K18DP-D	D18	LD	LIC	LOVELOCK, NV	BLDTT20100719AEP	187.6
No	K18GG-D	D18	LD	LIC	MINA / LUNING, NV	BLDTT20110311ABV	360.9
No	KRNS-CD	D18	DC	LIC	RENO, NV	BLANK0000063791	315.1
No	NEW		LD	APP	RENO, NV	BNPDTL20090825BTZ	315.3
No	NEW	D18	LD	APP	RENO, NV	BNPDTL20090825BOT	281.9
No	K18MU-D	D18	LD	LIC	ROUND MOUNTAIN, NV	BLANK0000062155	336.8
No	K18GT-D		LD	LIC	RYNDON, NV	BLDTT20111219AAZ	192.5
No	K18KY-D		LD	CP	WELLS, NV	BNPDTL20100512AHT	228.9
No	K18KI-D	D18	LD	LIC	BAKER CITY, OR	BLDTT20120625ABH	329.8
No	KOHD	D18	DT	LIC	BEND, OR	BLANK0000002204	399.3
No	K18KC-D	D18	LD	LIC	WENDOVER, UT	BLDTT20120104ACF	325.3
No	K19IU-D		LD	LIC	BATTLE MOUNTAIN, NV	BLDTT20110902ACD	143.1
No	K19EU-D		LD	CP	WINNEMUCCA, NV	BLANK0000059879	69.1
No	K19EU-D	D19	LD	LIC	WINNEMUCCA, NV	BLDTT20110701ACI	69.2

No non-directional AM stations found within 0.8 km

No directional AM stations found within 3.2 $\ensuremath{\text{km}}$

Record parameters as studied:

Channel: D18 Mask: Stringent Mask: Stringent
Latitude: 41 37 56.60 N (NAD83)
Longitude: 117 44 30.40 W
Height AMSL: 1706.6 m
HAAT: 0.0 m
Peak ERP: 0.150 kW
Antenna: KAT-75-25SPLIT 0.0 deg

Elev Pattrn: Generic

10 1 dD-- ----

49.1 dBu contour:							
ERP	HAAT	Distance					
0.149 kW	302.8 m	32.7 km					
0.037	-154.2	8.2					
0.002	-364.8	3.9					
0.004	-372.9	4.8					
0.048	38.1	9.8					
0.031	402.4	27.0					
0.004	418.7	17.6					
0.042	395.6	28.5					
	ERP 0.149 kW 0.037 0.002 0.004 0.048 0.031 0.004	ERP HAAT 0.149 kW 302.8 m 0.037 -154.2 0.002 -364.8 0.004 -372.9 0.048 38.1 0.031 402.4 0.004 418.7					

Database HAAT does not agree with computed HAAT Database HAAT: 0 m Computed HAAT: 83 m

Distance to Canadian border: 819.0 km Distance to Mexican border: 1005.4 km

Conditions at FCC monitoring station: Livermore CA Bearing: 219.6 degrees Distance: 553.4 km

Proposal is not within the West Virginia quiet zone area

```
Conditions at Table Mountain receiving zone:
Bearing: 94.8 degrees Distance: 1061.1 km

No land mobile station failures found

Proposal is not within the Offshore Radio Service protected area

Study cell size: 1.00 km

Profile point spacing: 1.00 km

Maximum new IX to full-service and Class A: 0.50%

Maximum new IX to LPTV: 2.00%

No IX check failures found.
```

III. Antenna Structure Registration Not Required

The proposed antenna will be installed on a 60 foot tower at the Eagle Creek communications site. The tower will be less than 200 feet tall and there are no airports within 5 miles of the site coordinates. Therefore this structure is exempted from FCC Antenna Structure Registration.

DETERMINATION Results						
Structure does not require registration. There are no airports within 8 kilometers (5 miles) of the coordinates you provided.						
Your Specifications						
NAD83 Coordinates						
Latitude	41-37-56.6 north					
Longitude	117-44-30.4 west					
Measurements (Meters)						
Overall Structure Height (AGL)	18.3					
Support Structure Height (AGL)	18.3					
Site Elevation (AMSL)	1694.0					
Structure Type						
LTOWER - Lattice Tower						

IV. RF Exposure Study

The power density calculations shown below were made using the techniques outlined in OET Bulletin No. 65. "Ground level" calculations in this report have been made at a reference height of 2 meters above ground to provide a worst-case estimate of exposure for persons standing on the ground in the vicinity of the tower. The equation shown below was used to calculate the ground level power density figures from each antenna.

$$S(\mu W / cm^2) = \frac{33.40981 \times AdjERP(Watts)}{D^2}$$

Where: *AdjERP(Watts)* is the maximum lobe effective radiated power times the element pattern factor times the array pattern factor.

 ${\it D}$ is the distance in meters from the center of radiation to the calculation point.

Power density levels produced by the proposed facility were calculated for an elevation of 2 meters above ground (10.6 meters below the antenna radiation center). The worst case power density levels occur at depression angles between 45 and 90 degrees below the horizontal. The calculations in this report assume a worst-case relative field value of 0.200 at these angles, based on the manufacturer's vertical plane pattern for the horizontally-polarized Kathrein broadband panel antenna proposed in this application. This relative field value yields a worst-case adjusted average effective radiated power of 6 watts at depression angles between 45 and 90 degrees below the horizontal. Assuming this power and the shortest distance between the antenna radiation center and 2 meters above ground level (i.e. straight down), the highest calculated power density from the proposed antenna alone occurs at the base of the antenna support structure. At this point the power density from the proposed facility is calculated to be 1.8 μ W/cm², which is 0.5% of 329.3 μ W/cm² (the FCC maximum for uncontrolled environments at the Channel 18 frequency).

These calculations show that the maximum calculated power density produced at two meters above ground level by the proposed operation alone is less than 5% of the applicable FCC exposure limit at all locations between 1 and 500 meters from the base of the antenna support structure. Section 1.1307(b)(3) of the Commission's Rules excludes applications for new facilities or modifications to existing facilities from the requirement of preparing an environmental assessment when the calculated emissions from the applicant's proposed facility are predicted to be less than 5% of the

applicable FCC exposure limit. Therefore, the proposed facility is in compliance with Section 1.1301 *et seq* and no further analysis of RF exposure at this site is required in this application.

Pursuant to OET Bulletin No. 65, all station personnel and contractors are required to follow appropriate safety procedures before any work is commenced on the antenna tower, including reduction in power or discontinuance of operation before any maintenance work is undertaken. The permittee/licensee in coordination with other users of the site must reduce power or cease operation as necessary to protect persons having access to the site, tower or antenna from radiofrequency exposure in excess of FCC guidelines.

September 10, 2019

Erik C. Swanson, P.E.



