

Minor change showing and 1st adjacent channel study

(305) 234-9309



MINOR CHANGE TO LICENSED FACILITY W215CJ Minor change showing - coverage over water

Robert J. Robbins Figure 2 www.radiodataservices@radiodataservices.com radiodataservices@radiodataservices.com (305) 234-9309


Table 1
W215CJ, MINOR CHANGE TO LICENSED FACILITY
Channel Study

| Chan | Class | Call Letters | Type | Status | City | State | Country | Owner | Distance | Bearing | Req. | Clearance | Field |
|------|-------|--------------|------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------|--------------------------|----------|----------|------------|-----------|------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | (km) | TO (deg) | Dist. (km) | (km) | Strength |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | (dBu) |
| 213 | C1 | WBVM | FM | LIC | TAMPA | FL | US | BISHOP OF THE DIOCESE OF | 26.4 | 100.4 | 70.7 | -44.3 | 82.5 (see note) |
| 215 | D | W215CJ | FX | LIC | TAMPA | FL | US | THE MOODY BIBLE INSTITUT | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.7 | -24.7 | (applicant) |
| 216 | C1 | WKES | FM | LIC | LAKELAND | FL | US | THE MOODY BIBLE INSTITUT | 52.1 | 66.2 | 61.5 | -9.4 | (primary station |
| 218 | C0 | WCIE | FM | LIC | NEW PORT RICHEY | FL | US | RADIO TRAINING NETWORK, | 40.1 | 323.8 | 76.5 | -36.5 | 76.3 (see note) |

NOTE: (SEE FIGURE 2)

(with respect to WBVM) 2nd adjacent WVBM has a field strength of 82.5 dBu F(50,50) at the proposed site. Because this field strength is greater than the field strength of WCIE, the potential for interference to WVBM is not the "worst case" factor.

(with respect to WCIE) 3rd adjacent WCIE has a field strength of 76.25 dBu F(50,50) at the proposed site. Therefore the proposed translator's interfering contour is the 116.25 dBu F(50,10) contour. At 70 watts ERP and with the antenna mounted at 52 meters AGL The proposed translator's 116.25 dBu F(50,10) extends 90 meters horizontally from the tower. However, due to the vertical elevation pattern of the proposed antenna, the 116.25 dBu interfering contour will not reach ground level, will remain at least 23 meters (76 feet) above ground level and will not contain any structures or population. Therefore this proposal is compliant with the allowance of Rule 74.1204(d).



Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Exposure Analysis

| | | Antenna type | | Horizontal | Vertical | Power Density μW/cm² at 2 meters AGL | | | | | | |
|----------|--------|-----------------|------|------------|----------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|--------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Source | Height | | Bays | | | within 10 | % controlled | Max. PD | % uncontrolled | Distance to | | |
| | AGL(m) | | | ERP (kw) | ERP | meters | environment limit | | environment limit | maximum PD | | |
| | | | | | (kw) | distance | (1000 μW/cm ²) | | $(200 \mu W/cm^2)$ | (m) | | |
| PROPOSED | 52 | SCALA YA7-FML-1 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.070 | 0.0001 | 0.00001% | 0.1 | 0.1% | 94 | | |
| | | | | | | 0.0001 | 0.00001% | 0.1 | 0.1% | 94 | | |

The proposed facility is excluded from environmental processing under 47. C.F.R. Section 1.1306 (i.e., The facility will not have a significant environmental impact and complies with the maximum permissible radiofrequency electromagnetic exposure limits for controlled and uncontrolled environments).

Calculations made using Equation 10 from OET Bulletin 65 and elevation pattern provided by antenna manufacturer