GREG BEST
CONSULTING, INC.
9223 N. Manning Ave.
Kansas City, MO 64157
816-792-2913

December 11, 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Media Bureau, Video Division
445 12th St. S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

In evaluating the proposed facility change for K61AJ (BPTTV-20041129ABR), an evaluation of possible interference according to FCC rules was conducted.

PROPOSED STATION EVALUATION TO POSSIBLE INTERFERENCE CRITERIA

Proposed facility does not interfere with FCC Monitoring Stations

Proposed facility does not interfere with West Virginia quite zone

Proposed facility does not interfere with Table Mountain

Proposed facility is beyond the Canadian coordination distance

Proposed facility is within the current coordination distance used with Mexico of 275 km

Proposed station is OK toward AM broadcast stations

There are spacing and/or contour violations with full service, digital, Class A, and Low Power TV stations.

An evaluation according to OET-69 is presented to support this proposed facility change. In evaluating the proposed facility change for K61AJ, BPTTV--20041129ABR, an outgoing interference study was executed using the OET-69 Longley Rice Methodology using a signal resolution of 1 km and a spacing increment of 1.0 km with an ERP of 0.175 kW using a Stringent emission mask. The CDBS database of 12/6/2006 was used for this analysis. The following stations were considered in the study:

Call Sign	FCC File Number	City	State	Distance	Bearing
DK06IT (06N)	BLTTV4785	Mercury, Etc.	NV	296.2	30.8
K06IQ (06N)	BLTTV5094	Newberry Springs	CA	105.7	59.3
K06JL (06N)	BLTTV19790522IA	Baker	CA	200.2	52.6
K06MB (06Z)	BLTVA20050315AGG	Indio	CA	146.9	114.1
K06MH (06N)	BLTTV19920709IF	Beatty	NV	297.2	15.7
K06MU (06+)	BLTVL20030814AJL	Big Bear Lake	CA	71.1	99.8
KMOHTV (06-)	BLCT20020627AAL	Kingman	AZ	312.3	75.1
KRPE-L.C (06+)	BDISTVL20060331APS	Banning	CA	88.1	130.8
KSBY (06+)	BMLCT19860228KG	San Louis Obispo	CA	294.7	293.2
KSFV-L.C (06-)	BPTVL20021018AAZ	San Fernando Valley	CA	38.9	246.8
KTLA (05Z)	BLCT19880908KO	Los Angeles	CA	38.7	249.1
NEW.A-1 (06+)	BNPTVL20000829AXS	Joshua Tree	CA	137.3	98.8
NEW.A-2 (06-)	BNPTVL20000831BZW	Caliente	CA	110.8	321.6
NEW.A-3 (06Z)	BNPTVL20000831BGT	Bakersfield	CA	148.2	316.8
NEW.A-4 (06Z)	BNPTVL20000831BOQ	Tehachapi	CA	111.9	321.0
NEW.A-5 (06+)	BNPTVL20000829AXH	Johannesburg	CA	112.2	1.5

Call Sign	FCC File Number	City	State	Distance	Bearing
NEW-D.A-1 (05)	BDCCDVL20061030AQW	Forest Falls	CA	56.5	139.9
NEW-D.A-2 (06)	BDCCDTL20061005ABD	Las Vegas	NV	304.5	52.1
NEW-D.A-3 (06)	BDCCDVL20061030ASV	Los Angeles	CA	38.9	246.8
XETV (06Z)		Tijuana	BN	213.5	163.9

Of the considered stations, the following stations showed possible interference:

Call Sign	FCC File Number
KRPE-L.C (06+)	BDISTVL20060331APS
KSBY (06+)	BMLCT19860228KG
KSFV-L.C (06-)	BPTVL20021018AAZ
KTLA (05Z)	BLCT19880908KO
NEW.A-4 (06Z)	BNPTVL20000831BOQ
NEW.A-5 (06+)	BNPTVL20000829AXH
NEW-D.A-3 (06)	BDCCDVL20061030ASV

Each of the above stations was evaluated for incoming interference using the OET-69 Longley Rice methodology. In each case, there was zero percent (when rounded to the nearest percent) interference present to full service stations and less than 2.0 % to LPTV digital or analog authorizations. The following table identifies the actual percentage interference from the incoming interference analyses.

Call Sign	FCC File Number	Percentage Interference
KRPE-L.C (06+)	BDISTVL20060331APS	0.00 %
KSBY (06+)	BMLCT19860228KG	0.00 %
KSFV-L.C (06-)	BPTVL20021018AAZ	0.00 %
KTLA (05Z)	BLCT19880908KO	0.48 %
NEW.A-4 (06Z)	BNPTVL20000831BOQ	0.10 %
NEW.A-5 (06+)	BNPTVL20000829AXH	0.90 %
NEW-D.A-3 (06)	BDCCDVL20061030ASV	1.50 %

Should you have any questions concerning this analysis, please contact me and I will be happy to help.

Sincerely,

Greg Best

President