

**From:** [James McLuckie](#)  
**To:** [Ron Graser](#)  
**Cc:** [Hossein Hashemzadeh](#); [Ann Gallagher](#)  
**Subject:** RE: KHIZ-LD FCC ID# 67932  
**Date:** Tuesday, February 25, 2014 1:18:33 PM

---

Ron:

International Bureau has no objection to authorization of BMPDVL-20140214AAU. It does not need additional coordination with Mexico.

Thanks,

James

---

**From:** Ron Graser  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 25, 2014 10:51 AM  
**To:** James McLuckie  
**Cc:** Hossein Hashemzadeh  
**Subject:** FW: KHIZ-LD FCC ID# 67932

Mr. McLuckie, attached please find the contour study for KHIZ-LD showing the contours for their Proposed DTV facility (red), Existing DTV construction permit (Yellow) and existing DTV License Facility (Green) in relationship to the Mexican Border. Also the pink line represents the relocation distance of the facility.

As you can see the proposed contour extends further towards Mexico than the existing and licensed contours. However, in the Licensee e-mail below they feel that since the proposed contour is within the once existing KCBS-TV analog license contour for analog CH 2 that coordination with Mexico is not required.

After discussion with Hossein Hashemzadeh, he ask that I sent this information to you for your comments.

If needed the Proposed digital modification application is BMPDVL-20140214AAU – Community Los Angeles, CA. – Digital CH 2

The Existing digital construction permit is BPDTV-20120910AAD for which Mexican Approval was received on 9/13/13

The Existing digital license facility is BLDTV-

20120622AAP Thanks,  
Ron Graser  
Media Bureau, Video Division  
February 25, 2014

---

**From:** Jim McDonald [<mailto:jim@windriverbroadcast.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 24, 2014 12:26 PM  
**To:** Hossein Hashemzadeh  
**Subject:** Fw: KHIZ-LD FCC ID# 67932

Following onto this discussion, should we file an STA to allow him to build the 3 kW version - or can you reconsider the trip to Mexico for that Minor mod BMPDVL-20140214AAY ?

J.

Jim McDonald

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [Jim McDonald](#)  
**To:** [Hossein Hashemzadeh](#) ; 'roy' ; [stcl@comcast.net](mailto:stcl@comcast.net) ; [changmedia@aol.com](mailto:changmedia@aol.com)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 18, 2014 12:05 PM  
**Subject:** Re: KHIZ-LD FCC ID# 67932

It's my thought that the channel 2 proposal under discussion is well within the previously coordinated channel 2 contour of KCBS TV; it is believed that previous applications were handled as though previously coordinated, so I had thought this would be also. Unless that issue was "reset" at COFETEL because of the previous 1.5 kW application, this one should still reside within the old high power

contour. J.

Jim McDonald

---

**From:** roy [<mailto:roy@mayhugh.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 17, 2014 12:46 PM  
**To:** Hossein Hashemzadeh; [jim@windriverbroadcast.com](mailto:jim@windriverbroadcast.com); [stcl@comcast.net](mailto:stcl@comcast.net); [changmedia@aol.com](mailto:changmedia@aol.com)  
**Subject:** KHIZ-LD FCC ID# 67932

Hi Hossein,

I respectfully ask for your guidance.

I am building out a new LPTV transmitter facility for Jeff Chang. It is KHIZ-LD Facility ID 67932 - RF 2, Virtual 39

The current CP is for 1.5 KW - file # 20120910AAD

Last week Byron (actually Jim McDonald did the work) filed an amendment application to this CP last week to increase the ERP to 3 KW. All other elements remain un-change - same tower, same antenna, same heights even the same transmitter only at a higher TPO setting. And the new facility will transmit on the same channel and from the same tower as former analog full service station KCBS-TV so the app does not need to be coordinated with Mexico.

Mr. Chang wants the station on the air by the end of this month to satisfy contractual obligations. His preference is that it be at the 3 KW level, if this is possible.

The antenna system installation is completed, the new transmitter is being installed this week. I expect to start equipment and program tests in 10 days (February 27th).

My question - at what power level can I put the station on the air?

Jim McDonald mentioned amendments of this sort are some times granted in as little as a week - is it possible that I could have an amended CP for 3 KW in 10 days time?

If I don't have the amendment in 10 days, can I put it on the air under the terms of the current CP (1.5 KW power level) and if I do this will I mess up the amendment application process by filing for a license to cover.

I'd appreciate your insight on this.

Thanks,

Roy